Microbiological quality of different dried insects sold at Thohoyandou open market, South Africa
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Abstract
Edible insects have long been consumed as part of the diet of many Asian, African and South American cultures. The study sought to determine the microbial quality of dried insects (mopane worms, termites and stink bugs) sold around Thohoyandou markets, South Africa. For the study, 45 samples of dried mopane worms, termites and stink bugs were purchased from street vendors. About fifteen samples for each dried set of insects were randomly purchased and subjected to microbial analyses such as coliforms, Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Staphylococcus aureus, total plate count, yeasts and moulds count. The results revealed that street vendors were not following good standards of food safety and hygiene. They need training and awareness on how to handle the food products sold at the open market. During the study, we found that the mopane worms had the highest coliforms count of 1.9964±0.02 log CFU/g. Termites had the highest E. coli, S. aureus and total plate count of 1.9907±0.05, 2.2562±0.02 and 6.3564±1.10 log CFU/g. Termites and stink bugs had the highest amount of Salmonella spp. (1.9827±0.03 log CFU/g). Stink bugs had the highest yeast and mould count ranging from 1.9804±0.14 to 1.9491±0.56 log CFU/g as compared to other insects. The outcome of this study will be used to teach vendors about good food safety and hygiene measures. The study results are also useful in determining whether these edible insects sold at the market are microbiologically safe for human consumption.

1. Introduction

An estimated two billion people, mostly from underdeveloped countries in Africa, Asia and South America, harvest more than 2000 different species of edible insects for human consumption (van Huis, 2013; Hamerman, 2016; Kooh et al., 2019). Insects are a rich source of protein, fats, micronutrients (copper, iron, selenium, zinc) and B-group vitamins (B2, B5, B9) (Kouřimská and Adámková, 2016; Osimani et al., 2016).

The most consumed insects are beetles, caterpillars, bees, wasps and ants (14%), mopane worms, locusts, crickets, leafhoppers, planthoppers, scale insects, true bugs, termites, dragonflies and flies (Osimani et al., 2016; Pal and Karmakar, 2018). Generally, insects are consumed both as the main dishes or simply eaten as a snack after they have been fried or sun-dried (Figueiredo et al., 2015; Musundire et al., 2016).

Traditionally, insects are exposed to the soil during sun-drying where they are easily contaminated and this additionally compounded by poor storage conditions (Klander et al., 2012). Sun-drying has been used from the age of as early as 20000 BC (Bourdoux et al., 2016). This indicates that drying is one of the oldest methods used to preserve foods. It reduces water which improves the quality by reducing water activity, increases the shelf-life of dried foods and helps to reduce the microbial growth on dried foods. It is cheap, simple and inexpensive to practice. However, it has disadvantages of contamination due to insects, birds, rodents and other environmental factors which have negative effects on public health (Farahbakhsh et al., 2015).

Fresh insects contain high bacterial load (Enterobacteriaceae and bacterial spores) as compared to dried insects. There is no specific criterion on how to package insects as most of them are stored in containers and are therefore easily exposed to various microorganisms as compared to other fresh and finished products (Amadi and Kiin-Kabari, 2016). Street vendors weigh the insects using a cup and put them inside a plastic (Oppong, 2013). These insects are exposed to the sun, dust and poor handling procedures (Cloutier, 2015).
Poor packaging and storage may also contribute to microbial contamination (Raphael et al., 2014). Foods from animal origin are generally infected by food-borne infectious diseases which account more than 50% of all diseases in the globe (Kooh et al., 2019). Insects are commonly harvested from natural environments and there is a great concern about the hygiene and food safety around the world due to the way they are handled and stored (Messina et al., 2019). In addition, insects are rich in nutrients and moisture and this may cause high microbial growth (Klunder et al., 2012). Therefore, different hygiene measures when it comes to insects as a source of food should be implemented to reduce microbial populations. These measures involve various processing steps such as heating, freezing, drying and frying, boiling, grinding, packaging and storage of the final products which would be ready for consumption (Medigo et al., 2017; Kooh et al., 2019).

Insects are associated with high microbial growth of pathogenic, commensal and spoilage fungi due to poor processing and storage conditions along the value chain (Mujuru et al., 2014; Osimani et al., 2016; Schluter et al., 2017). Microbiological or hygiene indicators that are used to give information on the microbial loads of foods are total plate count, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and Enterobacteriaceae (Kooh et al., 2019). Most of the microorganisms that have been isolated from insects include Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus cereus, Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella spp. Clostridium perfringens, C. botulinum and LAB (Amadi and Kiin-Kabari, 2016; van der Fels-Klerx et al., 2018). Other isolated species include Escherichia coli (Park et al., 2019), Enterobacter sakazakii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Yersinia species (Kooh et al., 2019).

Milanovic et al. (2016) studied microbial analysis of eleven species of market edible insects such as small crickets, locusts, black ants, winged termite alates, rhino beetles, mole crickets and black scorpions. However, there is little information available on the microbial analysis of dried insects and personal hygiene of the street vendors. Therefore, this study investigated the microbial analyses of dried insects (mopane worms, termites and stink bug) sold at Thohoyandou open market, Limpopo Province, South Africa.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Food hygiene practices

A checklist adopted from Muinde and Kuria (2005) and Samapundo et al. (2015) was used to assess food hygiene practices of all fifteen street vendors selling dried insects. The food hygienic practices were assessed and then expressed in percentages.

2.2 Study area and sampling procedure

A total of 45 dried insects (Figure 1) such as mopane worms (15), termites (15) and stink bug (15) were randomly purchased from five different street-vendors in Thohoyandou, South Africa. They were packaged in polystyrene plastics, stored in a cooler box and transported to the laboratory for microbiological analysis and this was done in triplicates (n=3). Medium and other chemicals were purchased from Lasèc, Midrand, South Africa and all were Oxoid grades.

Figure 1. Dried insects sold in Thohoyandou open market, South Africa. (A) Mopane worms (B) termites and (C) stink bugs.

2.3 Microbiological analyses of dried insects

The dried insect samples were determined for coliforms, E. coli, Salmonella spp., S. aureus, total viable count and yeast and mould using the pour plate count method. For each dried insect sample, 10 g was weighed and homogenised with 90 mL buffered peptone water and serial dilutions were prepared up to \(10^5\) and the dilution was used for plating purpose. The plates were labelled properly based on the different media used; chromo select agar (CSA) for coliforms and E. coli, Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate agar (XLDA) for Salmonella spp., Baird Parker agar (BPA) for S. aureus, plate count agar (PCA) for total viable count and potato dextrose agar (PDA) for yeast and moulds. The plates were then incubated appropriately to allow the growth of microorganisms: CSA and XLDA at 37°C for 24 hrs, BPA and PCA at 37°C for 48 hrs and 25°C for 72 hrs. Counts of bacteria, yeasts and moulds were made on the respective media (Mafune et al., 2016; Terentjeva et al., 2017; Omemu et al., 2018). For each dried insects samples, three measurements were taken. The plates were counted using colony counter for each microorganism. The counts were expressed as colony forming units per gram CFU/g (Ahmed et al., 2020).

2.4 Data analysis

All the experiments were conducted in triplicates and the averages of plate counts from three replications were converted to \(\log_{10}\) CFU/g. The data were subjected to analysis of variance using SPSS version 24 (SPSS, IBM, Chicago USA) and means were separated using the Duncan multiple range test. Significance was accepted at.
95% confidence interval (p < 0.05) (Jeong-Eun et al., 2019).

3. Results

3.1 Food hygiene practice

Table 1 shows the hygiene practices of fifteen street vendors selling dried insects in Thohoyandou, South Africa. All fifteen street vendors were female and according to the observation during purchasing of insects, 66% of vendors were wearing appropriate clothes like aprons whereas 34% were wearing their own clothes. In addition, few vendors (33%) did not cover their hairs with hair nets or wrap them with any cloth. All respondents (100%) sold dried insects from open containers. The street vendors used wooden tables or carts to put their bowls and 33% were clean and covered with table cloths. Most, 53% of the vendors, had proper shelter for selling site while 47% did not have proper shelter. In addition, all street vendors (100%) were handling insects with hands. The street vendors did not have proper handwashing facilities (13%) and 27% lacked waste disposable bin.

Table 1. Food safety and hygiene practices of street vendors selling dried insects (n = 15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hygiene practices</th>
<th>Yes (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proper clothes</td>
<td>10 (66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hair covered not covered</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage bowl clean</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage bowl with insects not covered</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighing cup not covered</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tables for putting bowl clean</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter for selling site available</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling of insects with hands</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand washing facilities available</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste disposable bin</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Microbiological analysis of dried insects

3.2.1 Microbiological analysis of mopane worms

Table 2 shows the results of microbiological analyses detected from mopane worm sample. Coliforms ranged from 0.9964±0.02 log$_{10}$CFU/g for locations L1 to L5. The highest coliform count was obtained from location L2 whereas L3 to L5 had no count. E. coli was highest on L1 with 1.7071±0.02 log$_{10}$CFU/g however, L3 to L5 had no count. Therefore, coliforms and E. coli were not detected on locations L3 to L5. Salmonella species had the highest count on location L2 with 1.5896±0.01 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The species were not detected on locations L1, L4 and L5. Staphylococcus aureus was not detected on locations L1 to L3 but had the highest count on L4 with 1.7707±0.00 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The highest total plate count was on L2 with 1.9422±0.02 log$_{10}$CFU/g and the lowest count on L4 with 1.2341±0.12 log$_{10}$CFU/g. Yeast counts ranged from 1.9681±0.01 to 1.6972±0.14 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The highest yeast count was obtained from L1 and the lowest count from L3. Mould counts ranged from 0 to 1.2594±0.24 log$_{10}$CFU/g whereas the highest count was obtained from L1 and L2 had no mould count.

Table 2. Microbial analysis of mopane worms (log$_{10}$CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coliforms</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>Salmonella spp.</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>TVC</th>
<th>Yeasts</th>
<th>Moulds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1.2196±0.31$^b$</td>
<td>1.7071±0.02$^a$</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7899±0.13$^c$</td>
<td>1.9681±0.01$^a$</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>1.9964±0.02$^a$</td>
<td>1.6266±0.06$^b$</td>
<td>1.5896±0.10$^a$</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9422±0.02$^a$</td>
<td>1.9599±0.02$^a$</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.5663±0.51$^a$</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8193±0.11$^b$</td>
<td>1.6972±0.04$^d$</td>
<td>1.1003±0.17$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7707±0.00$^a$</td>
<td>1.2341±0.12$^c$</td>
<td>1.8673±0.15$^b$</td>
<td>1.2594±0.24$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.7088±0.10$^b$</td>
<td>1.4103±0.19$^d$</td>
<td>1.7153±0.12$^c$</td>
<td>1.2006±0.17$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±standard deviation, n = 3. Values followed by the same letters in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05). L1 –L5 = locations. ND = not detected.

3.2.2 Microbial analysis of termites

From Table 3 (termites), the highest coliforms count was observed from L1 with 1.9775±0.08 log$_{10}$CFU/g but were not detected on L3 and L5. Location L5 had no E. coli count while the highest count was obtained from L4 with 1.9907±0.05 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The Salmonella spp. varied from 0 to 1.9827±0.03 log$_{10}$CFU/g whereas the highest count was on L1. S. aureus count was not detected on locations L1 to L3 while the highest count was observed on L4 at 2.2562±0.02 log$_{10}$CFU/g. Total plate counts ranged from 1.8773±0.04 to 6.3564±1.10 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The highest total viable count (TVC) was obtained from L5 and lowest from L2. Yeast counts ranged 1.0624±0.07 to 1.9098±0.02 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The highest count was observed from L2 and the lowest count from L5. Therefore, TVC and yeast counts were available in all locations. Mould was not available on locations L1 and L2 while the highest count was obtained from L4 with 1.6981±0.30 log$_{10}$CFU/g.

3.2.3 Microbial analysis of stink bugs

Table 4 indicates the bacterial populations isolated from stink bugs. The highest coliforms counts were obtained from location L4 with 1.9476±0.07 log$_{10}$CFU/g while L3 had no count. E. coli counts varied from 1.6162±0.18 to 1.8472±0.08 log$_{10}$CFU/g. The highest count was observed from L4 at 2.7871±0.09 log$_{10}$CFU/g.
Customers would not see their products and this would be a major reason that if they cover their bowls or cups, their products are hidden. This study also did not cover their utensils. Their working garments or clothes should be always kept clean and dry. Washing of clothes is a personal hygiene measure. Personal hygiene is a very important measure that needs to be taken into consideration during food handling because humans are carriers and can cause food contamination (Mosupye and von Holy, 1999). The working garments or clothes should be always kept clean and hair should be covered with hair nets to avoid contaminating the insects (Birgen et al., 2020). However, this advice is often disregarded by street vendors. For example, Muindi and Kuri (2005) reported that in Kenya, 85% of the vendors prepared their food in unhygienic conditions. Traditionally, most of the bowls and weighing cups used for storing insects are not covered. Street vendors in this study also did not cover their utensils. Their reasoning is that if they cover their bowls or cups, customers would not see their products and this would reduce their sales. Therefore, keeping the food uncovered is viewed as a marketing strategy. Unfortunately, since the food is uncovered, during the dry windy season soil and dust settle in the storage containers. Moreover, insects are exposed to the sun and are stored in ambient temperature. There is no monitoring of temperature and these foodstuffs are often exposed for 6 to 8 hrs a day. This poses a great risk to public health.

By contrast, Okojie and Isah (2014) found that 94% of street vendors in Benin City, Nigeria, sold food from covered containers. In this study, some vendors put their bowl on the surface where the insects can be easily contaminated by microorganisms and small particles like small stone and unwanted materials. The number of street vendors with shelter was high as compared to other studies (Chukuezi et al., 2020) whereby 33% of vendors had proper shelter. Similarly, Chukuezi (2010) in Owerrri, Nigeria, also reported that only 29% of the vendors were covered. The unavailability of proper washing facilities at the sites makes vendors likely vectors of food contaminants. This poses health hazards to consumers of foods sold in the street such as dried insects.

Table 3. Microbial analysis of termites (log_{10} CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coliforms</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>Salmonella spp.</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>TVC</th>
<th>Yeasts</th>
<th>Moulds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1.9775±0.08^a</td>
<td>1.0813±0.11^c</td>
<td>1.9827±0.03^a</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9940±0.08^a</td>
<td>1.7963±0.08^b</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>1.7845±0.03^b</td>
<td>1.5444±0.06^b</td>
<td>1.6310±0.11^b</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8773±0.04^c</td>
<td>1.9098±0.02^a</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9817±0.29^a</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.9004±0.03^b</td>
<td>1.6149±0.12^c</td>
<td>1.1003±0.17^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>1.1830±0.42^c</td>
<td>1.9907±0.05^a</td>
<td>1.5762±0.24^c</td>
<td>2.2562±0.02^a</td>
<td>1.9787±0.02^a</td>
<td>1.5926±0.11^d</td>
<td>1.6981±0.30^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6830±0.14^b</td>
<td>1.9033±0.06^b</td>
<td>1.3564±1.10^d</td>
<td>1.0624±0.07^e</td>
<td>1.2006±0.17^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±standard deviation, n = 3. Values followed by the same letters in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05). L1 – L5 = locations. ND = not detected.

Table 4. Microbial analysis of stink bugs (log_{10} CFU/g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Coliforms</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>Salmonella spp.</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>TVC</th>
<th>Yeasts</th>
<th>Moulds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1.8773±0.06^c</td>
<td>1.6190±0.12^b</td>
<td>1.9827±0.03^g</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>1.9078±0.02^b</td>
<td>1.2838±0.05^d</td>
<td>1.6310±0.11^c</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6622±0.02^e</td>
<td>1.8373±0.04^b</td>
<td>1.2006±0.17^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.6162±0.18^c</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.8404±0.02^b</td>
<td>1.5257±0.18^d</td>
<td>1.9491±0.56^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>1.4928±0.13^d</td>
<td>1.7581±0.08^b</td>
<td>1.5762±0.24^c</td>
<td>1.9948±0.03^a</td>
<td>1.8075±0.03^b</td>
<td>1.7904±0.07^c</td>
<td>1.7669±0.20^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>1.9476±0.07^a</td>
<td>1.8472±0.08^a</td>
<td>1.6830±0.14^b</td>
<td>2.0136±0.02^a</td>
<td>1.9521±0.02^a</td>
<td>1.0988±0.06^e</td>
<td>1.2006±0.17^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±standard deviation, n = 3. Values followed by the same letters in the same column are significantly different (p < 0.05). L1 – L5 = locations. ND = not detected.

Salmonella spp. varied from 0 to 1.9827±0.03 log_{10} CFU/g. The highest count was observed from L1 while L3 had no count. S. aureus was not available on locations L1 to L3 whereas the highest count was obtained from L5 with 2.0136±0.02 log_{10} CFU/g. Location L1 had TVC which was not available on locations L1 to L4. The highest yeast count was observed from L1 while L3 had no count. Therefore, E. coli and yeast counts were available in all locations.

4. Discussion

4.1 Food hygiene practice

Personal hygiene is a very important measure that needs to be taken into consideration during food handling because humans are carriers and can cause food contamination (Mosupye and von Holy, 1999). The working garments or clothes should be always kept clean and hair should be covered with hair nets to avoid contaminating the insects (Birgen et al., 2020). However, this advice is often disregarded by street vendors. For example, Muindi and Kuri (2005) reported that in Kenya, 85% of the vendors prepared their food in unhygienic condition.

Traditionally, most of the bowls and weighing cups used for storing insects are not covered. Street vendors in this study also did not cover their utensils. Their reasoning is that if they cover their bowls or cups, customers would not see their products and this would reduce their sales. Therefore, keeping the food uncovered is viewed as a marketing strategy. Unfortunately, since the food is uncovered, during the dry windy season soil and dust settle in the storage containers. Moreover, insects are exposed to the sun and are stored in ambient temperature. There is no monitoring of temperature and these foodstuffs are often exposed for 6 to 8 hrs a day. This poses a great risk to public health.

By contrast, Okojie and Isah (2014) found that 94% of street vendors in Benin City, Nigeria, sold food from covered containers. In this study, some vendors put their bowl on the surface where the insects can be easily contaminated by microorganisms and small particles like small stone and unwanted materials. The number of street vendors with shelter was high as compared to report by Birgen et al. (2020) whereby 33% of vendors had proper shelter. Similarly, Chukuezi (2010) in Owerrri, Nigeria, also reported that only 29% of the vending sites had a canopy. Muinde and Kuria (2005) indicated that structures such as canopy and umbrella might not protect street foods from the smoke of vehicles as well as dust from the surrounding environment which carries many pathogenic microbes. This poses health hazards to consumers of foods sold in the street such as dried insects.

Lack of running water or potable water storage compromises food safety conditions for street vendors and consumers since water is required to wash hands, utensils and equipment (Omenu and Aderoju, 2008; Rane, 2011; Proietti et al., 2014). The unavailability of proper washing facilities at the sites makes vendors likely vectors of food contaminants. The observation of poor sanitary conditions where most street vendors operated is in contrast with the findings of Chukuezi.
(2010) in Owerri, Nigeria, and Mensah et al. (2002) in Accra, Ghana, whereby most of the street vendors had onsite water and waste bins. Foodborne diseases can be prevented by good hygiene practices such as the use of Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) application in the chain of food production, processing and storage. Educating or training food handlers/food vendors about food safety measures should be properly investigated by the private or public institutes in order to prevent foodborne diseases (Vandeweyer, 2018).

4.2 Microbial analysis of dried insects

Foodborne illnesses which are caused mainly by pathogenic bacteria found in contaminated foods are a major worldwide problem, especially in developing countries, causing death to vulnerable people. This is especially a problem where food standards, regulations, safety policies, cooling and storage facilities are not well established or not in place (Ntuli et al., 2017). In the current study, the highest coliforms of 1.9964±0.02 log_{10} CFU/g on L2 were found in mopane worms. Coliform counts of dried insects were within expected limits of less than 10^3 CFU/g in foodstuffs that require further cooking or in cured and salted meat (Lim et al., 2012). Mujuru et al. (2014) reported mean ranges of 0 – 4.3 CFU/g of coliforms on edible dried insects. All the dried insects studied were safe for human consumption; however, coliforms have been used as an indicator of unsanitary conditions and quality in water and food products (Cornell University, 2007).

Although still within the specified limit on L4, termites had the highest E. coli of 1.9907±0.05 log"{10} CFU/g as compared to other dried insects such as mopane worms and stink bugs. These results are like those by Mujuru et al. (2014) who detected E. coli in dried insects ranging from 0.0 to 3.0 log"{10} CFU/g. Lim et al. (2012) also reported counts of less than 10^3 for foodstuffs that require further cooking or in cured and salted meat. According to the current German and European community threshold, E. coli should range from 0 - 4.0 CFU/g (Grabowski and Klein, 2017). The presence of E.coli in food generally indicates direct or indirect faecal contamination. A substantial number of E.coli in foods suggests a general lack of cleanliness in handling and improper storage (Centre for Food Safety, 2014).

Salmonella species had the highest similar count on termites and stink bugs of 1.9827±0.03 log_{10} CFU/g as compared to mopane worms (L4). Although it was very low, Klunder et al. (2012) also detected Salmonella from edible insects. Vandeweyer et al. (2018) reported that the specification of Salmonella spp. on dried insects is < 100 CFU/g. The results of this study indicate that the edible insects are safe for human consumption even though the container carrying the insects were not covered and there is a high risk of contamination by unwanted materials. Food may be contaminated by Salmonella spp. in animal faeces and cross-contamination may occur during further processing and preparation (Centre for food safety, 2014).

Termites had the highest count on S. aureus of 2.2562±0.02 log_{10} CFU/g as compared to mopane worms and stink bugs on L4. In a study conducted by Grabowski et al. (2016), S. aureus in boiled and dried insects ranged between 2.000 to 6.327 log_{10} CFU/g. Although S. aureus was present on mopane worms, termites and stink bug, they were within the expected limits of less than 10^3 CFU/g in foodstuffs that require further cooking or in cured and salted meat (Mujuru et al., 2014). Vandeweyer et al. (2018) reported that the specification of S. aureus on dried insects is <100 CFU/g. S. aureus is a bacterium that causes Staphylococcal food poisoning, a form of gastroenteritis with rapid onset of symptoms (Stewart, 2003). The results revealed that contamination could be caused by poor handling from harvesting to storage. Food handlers are the main source of food contamination through direct contact and it can occur via hands or respiratory secretions (Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2016).

Total viable count (TVC) is one of the key indicators of poor hygiene afforded when processing food. Termites had the highest TVC of 6.3564±1.10 log_{10} CFU/g on L5. TVC is an acceptable measure of the general degree of bacterial contamination in processing plants (Cohen et al., 2007). Mpuchane et al. (2010) recorded TVC of approximately 4.0 - 8.0 log_{10} CFU/g in dried insects. Campos et al. (2008) reported TVC in the range of 4.0 - 5.1 log_{10} CFU/g in dried insects. The International Commission of Microbiological Specification for Foods (1986); Vandeweyer et al. (2018) reported that the microbial limit of TVC should be less than 7 log_{10} CFU/g. Therefore, the results of TVC of mopane worms, termites and stink bugs were within this acceptable range and hence safe to consume.

Yeast and moulds may cause food spoilage in food products that have low water activity such as dried edible insects. The ability of yeasts and moulds to attack many foods is due to their relatively versatile environmental requirements (Tournas et al., 2001). Stink bugs had the highest yeast and mould counts of 1.9804±0.14 and 1.9491±0.56 log_{10} CFU/g as compared to other insects on L1 and L3. Yeasts and moulds were found in these dried insects although in low levels. The microbial limits of yeast and mould counts are 5 log_{10} CFU/g on dried insects (Vandeweyer et al., 2018). In another study,
smoked termites were found to be containing about \( \log_{10} 5.62 \) CFU/g of yeast and moulds while those subjected to blanching were < 1.0 \( \log_{10} \) CFU/g (Megido et al., 2017). Their presence could be attributed to dust and air (Frazier and Westhoff, 2007).

According to the current German and European community threshold, yeast should be in the range of 1.0 - 3.0 CFU/g (Grabowski and Klein, 2017). Therefore, the findings of the current study are within the acceptable range. Microbial loads of all dried insects studied were within the specification and this might be due to the drying process which reduces the risk of microbial growth and contamination. Some of the insects are seasoned with salts which also improve quality and increases storage stability. However, the moisture content and water activity of dried insects is below 10% and 0.60. They can be stored at an ambient temperature and be eaten at any time (Vandeweyer et al., 2018).

5. Conclusion

This study leads to the conclusion that dried mopane worms, termites and stink bug sold around Thohoyandou market had low levels of coliforms, \( E. \) coli, \( S. \) aureus, Salmonella spp., TPC, yeasts and moulds. All these contaminants were found to be within health and food specifications. Even though the levels of these microbial counts were low, their occurrence is still worrying because they could be attributed to the unhygienic manner street vendors store their products. The products are stored in open containers at the market which exposes food to adverse environmental factors.
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