Effects of mangrove apple (*Sonneratia caseolaris*) fruit extract on oxidative stability of palm olein under accelerated storage
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**Abstract**

Palm olein is commonly used in cooking and food processing, however, it is susceptible to oxidation due to its high unsaturated fatty acids content. An antioxidant is added to the oil by oil manufacturer to inhibit lipid oxidation and increase the shelf life of the oil. However, most of the antioxidants are synthetic antioxidants that may not be safe for consumption and decomposed at high temperature during frying or processing. In this study, the antioxidant properties of mangrove apple fruit extract (MAFE), as well as the oxidative stability of palm olein with and without MAFE, were investigated. Palm olein added with MAFE (100 and 200 ppm) and without MAFE were kept at 65°C in an oven for 24 days under accelerated storage. The results showed that MAFE contained high total flavonoid compound (26.06±0.30 mg QCE/g extract) and total phenolic compound (12.21±1.31 mg GAE/g extract). The IC$_{50}$ values of antioxidant activities of MAFE calculated by DPPH and ABTS•$^+$ were 31.16±0.76 µg/mL and 97.32±3.27 µg/mL, respectively. The palm olein samples added with MAFE showed significantly lower peroxide value, $p$-anisidine value, TOTOX value, conjugated dienes and conjugated trienes after 24 days of storage compared to the palm olein without MAFE (control sample). The oxidative stability of palm olein added with 200 ppm MAFE was higher compared to the palm olein added with 100 ppm MAFE and control sample. Therefore, MAFE has the potential to be used as a source of natural antioxidant to reduce the lipid oxidation and prolong the shelf life of food products.

1. **Introduction**

Palm olein is a common commercial vegetable oil in Malaysia. It is the liquid fraction obtained by fractionation of palm oil after crystallisation at a controlled temperature, while the solid fraction is called palm stearin (Soares *et al*., 2009). The palm olein has low oxidative stability due to it is high in unsaturated fatty acid content (55%), mainly oleic acid (C18:1n9) and linoleic acid (C18:2n6) (Che Man and Tan, 1999; Gan *et al*., 2005; Soares *et al*., 2009). Furthermore, the free fatty acids (FFA), moisture, trace metals and other impurities in the palm olein have limited the shelf life of palm olein and cause off-odour and off-flavour after oxidised (Damanik and Murkovic, 2018).

The acceptability and market value of vegetable oils are mainly affected by the quality and stability of the oil. Hence, it is crucial to maintain the quality of oil in the oil manufacturing industry. One of the most significant indicators for maintaining the quality of edible oils is oxidative stability (Tan *et al*., 2002). According to Gan *et al* (2005), oxidation deteriorates oil or fat. It produced a pungent and offensive off-flavour, discoloration, polymerisation and destruction of vitamins (A, D, E, K and C), essential fatty acids, other nutrients, or enzymes by the production of the toxic or physiologically active compounds. Moreover, oxidation will also cause the degradation of physiological properties of food, such as flavour, colour and texture. Formation of numerous volatile and non-volatile compounds will be occurred due to the chemical reactions by oxidation, which included FFA, aldehydes, di- and mono-glycerides and polymeric triglycerides (Maskan and Horuz, 2017).

Various accelerated stability tests are carried out to evaluate the stability of oils and fats rapidly. The working principle of most of the accelerated tests is...
exposing oil samples to elevated temperatures in the presence of excess amounts of air or oxygen to speed up the oxidation process. The accelerated stability or oxidation tests most widely used are the Schaal oven test and the active oxygen method (AOM) (Tan et al., 2002). The Schaal oven test was used in previous studies to measure the oxidative stability of oils. For example, dark brown bottles were used to store sunflower oil in an oven at 65°C for 24 days (Yim et al., 2013; Chong et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2018) and palm olein in an electric hot air oven at 70°C for 30 days with 8 hrs heating cycle for each day (Womeni et al., 2016).

Previously, the synthetic antioxidants were added to the oil by oil manufacturers to minimise the oxidative deterioration of the oil. Synthetic antioxidants such as butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), propyl gallate (PG) and tertiary butyl hydroquinone (TBHQ), are cheaper than natural antioxidants (Maskan and Horuz, 2017). However, the commercial use of synthetic antioxidants is restricted in several countries (Womeni et al., 2016) and also an increasing consumer awareness of food additives due to potential toxicity present as the use of synthetic antioxidants can cause many health risks, including cancer, cardiovascular diseases and carcinogenesis (Hou, 2003). For example, the maximum usage of TBHQ in European Union, China, United States of America, Australia, Brazil, New Zealand, and the Philippines is 200 mg/kg (Makahleh et al., 2015). Furthermore, the synthetic antioxidants are unstable at high temperature during frying or processing because they can easily evaporate and decompose, which related to their chemical structure (Guo et al., 2016). Therefore, there is increasing usage of natural antioxidants as alternatives to synthetic compounds due to the issue of safety. Natural antioxidants can be extracted from many plants, including herbs and spices that have been reported to have varying degrees of antioxidant activities in fats and oils (Che Man and Tan, 1999). Many previous studies used extracts from plants or spices to replace the synthetic antioxidants, such as rosemary (Guo et al., 2016), rosemary and sage (Che Man and Jaswir, 2000), soursop flowers (Womeni et al., 2016), turmeric leaf (Fathianim et al., 2009) and mangoosteen peel (Wang et al., 2018).

Sonneratia caseolaris (L.) Engl. in the family of Sonneratiaceae, also known as mangrove apple, is a small to medium-sized tree, which can grow up to 15 m to 20 m tall, with oblong or obovate-elliptic coriaceous leaves and groups of 1 to 3 large red flowers together (Sadhu et al., 2006; Dam Roy et al., 2009). The mangrove apple fruit contained dietary fibre, vitamins and flavonoids, including luteolin and luteolin 7-O-β-glucoside (Sadhu et al., 2006), phenolic compounds (Minh, 2019), antioxidative, antimicrobial, anti-cholesterol and anti-diabetic properties (Jariyah et al., 2014; Simlai et al., 2014; Thuc et al., 2018). Therefore, the ripe mangrove apple is a potential source of natural antioxidants owing to its significant antioxidant activities. The objectives of this study are to determine the antioxidants properties of mangrove apple fruit extract (MAFE) as well as the oxidative stability of palm olein with and without MAFE during accelerated storage.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Refined palm olein (Bagus; Sime Darby, Malaysia) was purchased in the local market in Kuala Nerus, Terengganu. The mangrove apple (Sonneratia caseolaris) fruits were picked from the mangrove apple trees in the mangrove swamp area in Pulau Duyung, Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu, Malaysia. The fruits were flattened-round, hard and green fleshy fruit in a flattened calyx tube. The mangrove apple fruits were stored at 4°C before extraction.

2.2 Extraction of mangrove apple fruits

The whole mangrove apple fruits without calyx tube were cleaned, dried and grounded together with the seeds by MX-AC400 mixer grinder (Panasonic, Malaysia) into powder form before extraction. Extraction of mangrove apple fruits was carried out according to Wu et al. (2009). The pericarp with seeds of mangrove fruits was soaked in 95% ethanol (HmbG Chemicals, Malaysia) in a beaker at room temperature (28°C) with a ratio of 1:2 (270 g fruit: 540 mL ethanol). The beaker was then covered with aluminium foil and placed on an orbital shaker (KS-501 digital, IKA, Germany) at 150 rpm for 60 mins at room temperature for the first extraction. The mixture was centrifuged by refrigerated centrifuge (1590R, Gyrozen Limited Company, Korea) for 10 mins at 4000 rpm and the supernatant was filtered using Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The residue was re-extracted twice for the second and third time. After that, the three extracts were combined and concentrated using a rotary evaporator (Buchi, Switzerland) at 45°C.

2.3 Analysis of total flavonoid content

Analysis of total flavonoid content (TFC) was conducted according to the aluminium chloride (AlCl₃) colourimetry method by Anokwuru et al. (2011) with slight modification. The mangrove apple fruit extract (MAFE) was diluted with distilled water until it becomes
100 µg/mL. The standard curve was prepared by diluting quercetin in distilled water (0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL). Distilled water (4 mL) was added to 1 mL of the diluted MAFE or quercetin (standard) in a respective test tube. After that, 0.3 mL of 5% sodium nitrite (NaNO₂) (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) solution was added into the test tube. At 5 mins, 0.3 mL of 10% AlCl₃ (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) was added, followed by 2 mL of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) at 6 mins. Distilled water (2.4 mL) was then added to the test tube and mixed well. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was read at 510 nm using UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany). Total flavonoid content was determined as quercetin equivalents (mg QCE/g of extract).

2.4 Analysis of total phenolic content

The total concentration of phenolic content (TPC) in the extract was carried out according to a Folin-Ciocalteu method by Hossain et al. (2013) with slight modification. Gallic acid (GA) was used as the standard (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100 µg/mL) and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE/g extract). The sample extract was diluted with distilled water to a concentration of 100 µg/mL. Then, 1.0 mL of diluted extract or gallic acid (Merck KGaA, Germany) was mixed with 1.0 mL of Folin-Ciocalteu’s reagent (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) and vortexed for 5 seconds. After that, 1.0 mL of a 10% (w/v) sodium carbonate (HmbG Chemicals, Germany) aqueous solution was added to the mixture. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 hr. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 700 nm by spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany).

2.5 DPPH radical scavenging assay

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay of the mangrove apple extract was carried out according to a modified Thuo et al. (2018) method. The DPPH solution (0.2 mM) was prepared by dissolving 7.9 mg of DPPH (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in 100 mL of 95% ethanol. The sample extract and ascorbic acid (HmbG Chemicals, Germany) were diluted to a concentration of 10, 50, 90, 130 and 170 µg/mL. Then, 900 µL of ethanolic DPPH solution was added to 100 µL of sample extract or ascorbic acid for each concentration. The mixture was shaken vigorously for 1 min and allowed to react at room temperature (28°C) in dark condition for 30 mins. The absorbance of resulting mixture was measured at 517 nm by spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany) and converted to percentage inhibition (% inhibition), using the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ DPPH Inhibition} = \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \times 100
\]

Where \( A_{\text{blank}} \) = Absorbance of the blank sample and \( A_{\text{sample}} = \) absorbance of the sample.

Ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) solution was used as a positive control. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was reported as the amount of antioxidant required to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50%.

2.6 ABTS⁺ radical scavenging assay

Analysis of 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) radical cation (ABTS⁺⁺) scavenging assay was determined according to AlShaal et al. (2019). The ABTS⁺⁺ solution was prepared by reacting equal quantities of 7 mM of ABTS (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and 2.45 mM of sodium persulphate (Na₂S₂O₄) (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) solutions and left for 16 hours at room temperature in the dark. A 3 mL ABTS solution was diluted with 180 mL ethanol to obtain an absorbance of 0.70±0.02 at 734 nm by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany). The reaction mixtures were composed of 3 mL of ABTS⁺⁺ solution and 200 µL of extract or ascorbic acid at five different concentrations (10, 50, 90, 130 and 170 µg/mL). The absorbance was measured at 734 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany) after 10 mins with ethanol as the blank sample. The ABTS⁺⁺ scavenging capacity of the extract was compared with ascorbic acid (positive control), and percentage inhibition was calculated as following equation:

\[
\% \text{ ABTS⁺⁺ inhibition} = \frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}} \times 100
\]

Where \( A_{\text{blank}} \) = Absorbance of the blank sample and \( A_{\text{sample}} = \) absorbance of the sample.

The IC₅₀ value was calculated by the linear regression method through plots of the antiradical activity percentage against the concentration of the tested compounds.

2.7 Palm olein sample preparation

The mangrove apple fruit extracts (100 ppm and 200 ppm) were added directly into separate bottles that contained 500 mL palm olein (Bagus; Sime Darby, Malaysia). The oil samples were covered with aluminium foil and placed on an orbital shaker at 150 rpm for 60 mins to ensure homogenous dispersion.

2.8 Schaal oven test (accelerated storage)

The oxidative stability of palm olein was monitored under accelerated storage at 65°C for 24 days according to Yim et al. (2013) method. A 500 mL of palm olein
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The sample was poured into 15 amber glass bottles (30 mL) without headspace and cap for each MAFE concentration. The control sample (500 mL palm olein without MAFE) was also poured into another 15 amber glass bottles. Then, the glass bottles were placed into an oven (Memmert, Germany) and subjected to accelerated oxidation at 65±2°C for 24 days. Oxidative changes were monitored by peroxide value, p-anisidine value, total oxidation value and absorbance at 232 nm (conjugated dienes) and 268 nm (conjugated trienes) analysis at 0-day and at regular intervals of 6 days for 24 days.

2.9 Oxidative stability of palm olein

2.9.1 Peroxide value

Peroxide value (PV) of the palm olein was determined according to AOCS Cd 8-53 (AOCS, 2005) and Tengku-Rozain and Birch (2013) methods. A 5 g of oil sample was weighed into a 250 mL conical flask and mixed with 30 mL glacial acetic acid (Merck KGaA, Germany) and chloroform (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) mixture with a ratio of 3:2 (v/v). Saturated potassium iodide (0.5 mL; Bendosen Laboratory Chemicals, Malaysia) solution was added to the mixture, and the flask was shaken for 1 min before 30 mL of distilled water was added into it. The mixture was then titrated with 0.01 N sodium thiosulphate pentahydrate (Na2S2O5·5H2O) (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) solution by constant shaking until yellow colour almost disappeared. Subsequently, 2.0 mL of 1% starch (R&M Chemicals, United Kingdom) solution was added as an indicator and continue the titration with constant agitation until the blue colour turned to clear colour to release all iodine from the solvent layer. The endpoint of Na2S2O5 was recorded. A blank solution was analysed under a similar condition as a control. The PV was calculated based on the equation below:

\[
Peroxide\ value = \frac{(S-B) \times 1 \times 1000}{weight\ of\ sample\ (g)} \text{ meq peroxide/kg}
\]

Where \( B \) = the volume of titrant of blank (mL), \( S \) = the volume of titrant of sample (mL) and \( N \) = the normality of sodium thiosulphate solution.

2.9.2 p-anisidine value

The p-anisidine value (p-AnV) in palm olein was determined according to AOCS Cd 18-90 (AOCS, 2005). 2.0 g of oil sample was weighed into a 25 mL volumetric flask. The oil sample was dissolved and diluted to volume with isooctane (2,2,4-trimethylpentane) (Merck KGaA, Germany). The absorbance (\( A_s \)) of the oil solution was measured at 350 nm using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany) for conjugated dienes and trienes, respectively.

2.9.3 Total oxidation (TOTOX) value

TOTOX value of oil sample was calculated based on the equation reported by Shahidi and Wanasundara (2002) as follows:

\[
\text{TOTOX value} = 2\ PV + p-\text{AnV}
\]

Where PV = peroxide value and \( p-\text{AnV} = p\)-anisidine value

2.9.4 Conjugated dienes and trienes content

Analyses of conjugated dienes and trienes were carried out according to Wang et al. (2018). Oil sample (0.1 g) was added with 10 mL isooctane. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 232 and 268 nm by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Spectroquant Pharo 300, Merck KGaA, Germany) for conjugated dienes and trienes, respectively.

2.10 Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in triplicates (n = 3). The results were expressed as mean±standard deviation. Statistical analysis was carried out using MINITAB (Minitab Incorporated, Pennsylvania, USA) version 14 for Windows. A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher’s Least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparisons were performed to determine significant difference between samples for each measurement at the confidence level of 95% (p < 0.05).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Percentage of extraction yield, total flavonoid content and total phenolic content of MAFE

The percentage of extraction yield of MAFE was 6.34% of dry weight basis, which was lower than Wettitayaklung et al. (2013) study on the flesh of ripe fruit (21.77%). The differences may be due to the differences in the solvent used for extraction, where ethanol was used in the present study and methanol was used in Wettitayaklung et al. (2013) study. The difference in extraction yield of the same species of fruits with the different solvent used might be due to the capability of the solvent used to dissolve endogenous
The total flavonoid content (TFC) of MAFE in the present study was 26.06±0.30 mg QCE/g extract. The TFC in the mangrove apple were different according to different species of Sonneratia. The TFC values in the extract of pericarp and seed of Sonneratia apetala were 14.6±0.9 mg CE (catechin equivalent)/g extract and 30.6±0.7 mg CE/g extract, respectively (Hossain et al., 2013). On the other hand, the TFC in the fruit extract of Sonneratia alba was 20.93±0.79 mg CE/g extract (Liu et al., 2019). The TFC in the fruit extract (pericarp with seed) of Sonneratia caseolaris in the present study was considered high compared to other species. The high content TFC in the MAFE in the present study was probably due to the presence of bioactive compound flavonones and luteolin 7-O-β-glucoside (cynaroside) responsible for intestinal α-glucoside inhibitory action as reported by Sadhu et al. (2006) and Tiwari et al. (2010).

The total phenolic content (TPC) in the MAFE in the present study was 12.21±1.31 mg GAE/g extract. Wetwitayaklung et al. (2013) reported that the TPC values in the crude extracts of the flesh of ripe fruit and seed of Sonneratia caseolaris were 157.5±7.90 mg GAE/g crude extract and 208.2±6.40 mg GAE/g crude extract, respectively. Meanwhile, Hossain et al. (2013) found the TPC in the pericarp and seed of Sonneratia apetala were 55.4±1.3 mg GAE/g extract and 300.1±8.2 mg GAE/g extract, respectively. The TPC of MAFE in the present study was lower than the TPC found in Wetwitayaklung et al. (2013) and Hossain et al. (2013) probably due to the differences in the solvent used for extraction as mentioned above. According to Sultana et al. (2009), a higher TPC was obtained when more polar solvents such as methanol were used as extraction solvent.

### 3.2 DPPH radical scavenging assay and ABTS radical cation scavenging assay

The half of maximal inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) value is inversely related to its antioxidant activity. It is the amount of antioxidant required to decrease the antioxidant activity by 50%, which is obtained by interpolation from a linear regression analysis. High antioxidant activity of the sample will have a low value of IC\(_{50}\) (Do et al., 2014). The IC\(_{50}\) value of DPPH assay in the MAFE was 31.16±0.76 µg/mL (Table 1) was lower than in the flesh of mangrove apple fruit (84.74 µg/mL) in Rahim and Abu Bakar (2018) study. This indicated that the MAFE in the present study had higher antioxidant activity than the extract in Rahim and Abu Bakar (2018) study on Sonneratia caseolaris sample. This is probably due to the whole fruit (fruit and seed) was used in the present study. The IC\(_{50}\) value of antioxidant activities of the fruit extract of Sonneratia caseolaris calculated from DPPH radical reaction was higher than the IC\(_{50}\) value of DPPH assay of Sonneratia caseolaris (4.17 µg/mL) in Wetwitayaklung et al. (2013) study. This probably due to the different cultivation conditions, maturity stage, environmental conditions and postharvest management in the samples of both studies. According to Manganaris et al. (2013), field management system and growing season, such as temperature and carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)) partial pressure, have a significant effect on the levels of antioxidants and phytochemical profile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DPPH (µg/mL)</th>
<th>ABTS(^+) (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extract</td>
<td>31.16±0.76(^a)</td>
<td>97.32±3.27(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>5.28±0.58(^b)</td>
<td>12.71±1.14(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The IC\(_{50}\) value of ABTS\(^+\) assay in MAFE in the present study was 97.32±3.27 µg/mL. Wetwitayaklung et al. (2013) reported that the IC\(_{50}\) of antioxidant activities in the flesh of unripe mangrove apple fruit and flesh of ripe mangrove apple fruit calculated from ABTS radical cation reaction were 426 µg/mL and 524.20 µg/mL, respectively. The differences in the IC\(_{50}\) value of ABTS\(^+\) assay in the present and previous studies probably due to the differences in the samples used. Wetwitayaklung et al. (2013) analysed the IC\(_{50}\) of ABTS\(^+\) assay for fruit flesh and seed separately, whereas the sample in the present study was the combination of fruit flesh and seed. According to Rahim and Abu Bakar (2018), a higher antioxidant compound was found in the seed compared to the flesh of the fruit. In Rahim and Abu Bakar (2018) study, they found that the IC\(_{50}\) of ABTS\(^+\) assay in seed was 10.52 µg/mL, which was lower than the flesh of the fruit (84.74 µg/mL). The lower IC\(_{50}\) in seed might be due to better antioxidant therapy and acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor in it than other parts of tree extract (Wetwitayaklung et al., 2013). The antioxidant activity of MAFE was not as strong as the ascorbic acid (standard) due to the IC\(_{50}\) value of DPPH assay and ABTS\(^+\) assay for MAFE was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the IC\(_{50}\) value for the ascorbic acid.

### 3.3 Oxidative stability of palm olein

#### 3.3.1 Peroxide value

Peroxide values (PV) of all palm olein samples in the present study were under 20 meq peroxide/kg after 24 days of accelerated storage in 65°C (Figure 1), which indicated that the samples have not tasted as rancid. However, the palm olein was not considered as fresh oil after 12 days accelerated storage period due to the PV was over 10 meq peroxide/kg. According to Egan et al. (2013) probably due to the presence of bioactive compound flavonones and luteolin 7-O-β-glucoside (cynaroside) responsible for intestinal α-glucoside inhibitory action as reported by Sadhu et al. (2006) and Tiwari et al. (2010).
In (1981), the PV for fresh oils is usually below 10 meq peroxide/kg and a rancid taste is noticeable when the PV is between 20 and 40 meq peroxide/kg.

There was no significant difference at day 0 of accelerated storage for all palm olein samples in the present study. However, the PV of control palm olein was significantly higher \((p < 0.05)\) than the palm olein added with 100 ppm of MAFE after 18 days of accelerated storage and palm olein added with 200 ppm of MAFE after six days of accelerated storage. Overall, the increase rate of PV was significantly less after 18 days of accelerated storage in the palm olein added with MAFE compared to the control sample. This finding indicated that the presence of MAFE had effectively slowed down the lipid oxidation which probably due to the high value of TFC and TPC as well as low IC\(_{50}\) value of DPPH assay and ABTS•+ assay in MAFE.

There was a continuous increase in PV with the increase of the accelerated storage period observed for all the palm olein samples, and the data were significantly different \((p < 0.05)\) at different storage period (Figure 1). The increase in PV of palm olein sample indicated the increasing formation of primary lipid oxidation product (hydroperoxide) as palm olein contained a high amount of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA). The major MUFA and PUFA in palm olein are oleic acid (C18:1n9; 45.40±0.20%) and linoleic acid (C18:2n6; 10.20±0.10%), respectively (Soares et al., 2009). The hydrogen abstraction or by addition of an oxygen radical initiates the chain reaction of lipid peroxidation, which causing the oxidative damage of PUFA since PUFA are more sensitive compared to than saturated fatty acids (Repetto et al., 2012).

The results obtained in the present study were similar to Womeni et al. (2016) findings on the addition of extract of soursop flower into the palm olein. Womeni et al. (2016) reported that the increase in PV slowed down across the storage period for the palm olein with soursop flower extract. However, the PV of the control sample obtained in the present study was lower compared to the PV in Womeni et al. (2016) study at the same storage duration. The PV of the control palm olein in Womeni et al. (2016) study increased to 27 meq peroxide/kg at day 10. This finding is probably due to the differences of the oil manufacturers and locations of palm fruit that might affect the quality of palm olein. Akinola et al. (2010) reported that the PV of palm oil varied from different local factories in Western Nigeria, which tend to reflect the stability and quality of the palm oil. Furthermore, the storage temperature in oven was different from Womeni et al. (2016) study (70°C and 8 hrs heating cycle per day), whereas the temperature used in the present study was 65°C. No antioxidant (e.g. BHA, BHT and TBHQ) and food additives were added at any stages of the manufacturing process in the palm olein samples used in the present study as claimed by the manufacturer. The results of PV in the present study are in agreement with those found in the literature (Fatihanin et al., 2009; Yim et al. 2013; Chong et al., 2015), which all results in previous studies showed the increase of PV slowed down across the storage period as the concentration of extract increased.

3.3.2 \(p\)-anisidine value

The \(p\)-anisidine value \((p\text{-AnV})\) in the control palm olein after 24 days of accelerated stored was higher than food safety and quality range \((p\text{-AnV} = 3.0;\) Gotoh and Wada, 2006). This indicates that the control palm olein was not safe to be consumed as the palm olein began to deteriorate as more free fatty acid (FFA) formed, which affected the oil quality (Gotoh and Wada, 2006). Overall, the \(p\)-AnV began to rise gradually and significantly \((p < 0.05)\) after 12 days of accelerated storage for all the oil samples in the present study (Figure 2). However, there were small significant differences \((p < 0.05)\) of \(p\)-AnV between day 0 and day 12 for palm olein with 100 ppm of MAFE and between day 0 and day 6 for palm olein with 200 ppm of MAFE. This indicated that the secondary oxidation of palm olein began after 12 days of accelerated storage. The occurrence of the secondary oxidation measured by \(p\)-AnV indicates the production of carbonyl, aldehydes (2-alkenals and 2,4-dienals),

![Figure 1. Peroxide value of palm olein during storage](image1)

![Figure 2. \(p\)-anisidine value of palm olein during storage](image2)
ketones and carboxylic acids from decomposition of unsaturated fatty acids and hydroperoxide (Ling et al., 2015).

The trend of $p$-AnV in the present study was in accordance with the PV, where the palm olein sample in the present study was not considered as fresh oil after 12 days of accelerated storage. However, the $p$-AnV of control palm olein increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) at day 24 and was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than palm olein added with 100 ppm MAFE after 18 days of accelerated storage and palm olein added with 200 ppm MAFE after 12 days of accelerated storage. This indicated that the MAFE had effectively retarded the secondary oxidation by delaying the decomposition of hydroperoxide as shown by the high values of TFC and TPC as well as low IC$_{50}$ value of DPPH assay and ABTS$^+$ assay in MAFE.

The $p$-AnV of palm olein added with 200 ppm of MAFE was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) than palm olein added with 100 ppm of MAFE and control sample at day 24 of storage, which indicated that the higher concentration of MAFE had better protection effect against lipid oxidation. The finding in the present study was in agreement with the previous studies (Yim et al., 2013; Chong et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016; Womeni et al., 2016), where the increase of $p$-AnV in palm olein and sunflower oil were lower with the higher concentration of the extract.

### 3.3.3 Total oxidation (TOTOX) value

The TOTOX value increased significantly ($p < 0.05$) at different storage periods in a regular pattern for all the three palm olein samples in the present study (Figure 3). The trend of TOTOX value in the present study was following the trend of PV. The TOTOX value of control palm olein was significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than palm olein added with 100 ppm MAFE after 18 days of accelerated storage. However, palm olein added with 200 ppm MAFE had significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) TOTOX value than control palm olein throughout the accelerated storage periods, which indicated the palm olein with a higher concentration of MAFE had better protection against lipid oxidation. The results of the present study showed that the addition of MAFE in the palm olein samples had effectively delayed the lipid oxidation of palm olein compared to the control sample. This was because of the high value of TFC and TPC as well as low IC$_{50}$ value of DPPH assay and ABTS$^+$ assay in MAFE. The results in the present study were in accordance with previous studies (Chong et al., 2015; Womeni et al., 2016), as the higher the concentration of extract, the lower the TOTOX value of palm olein and sunflower oil.

#### 3.3.4 Conjugate dienes and trienes

Generally, the peroxide that was produced at the initial stage of oxidation had absorption at 232 nm. Therefore, the absorbance at 232 nm can be used to measure the degree of primary oxidation and also conjugated dienes (Guo et al., 2016). Meanwhile, the secondary oxidation of oil can be detected by measuring the absorbance at 268 nm. However, it is not similar to $p$-anisidine value analysis in theoretically due to the ketones are not monitored in the $p$-anisidine analysis. The absorbance at 268 nm can measure the diethylenic ketones and conjugated trienes (Jaswir et al., 2000; Guo et al., 2016).

The results of the present study showed there was a significant increase ($p < 0.05$) of absorbance at 232 nm along the accelerated storage period (Figure 4). The trend of the increasing absorbance values at 232 nm was similar to the peroxide value. The absorbance values at 232 nm of all the oil samples in the present study were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) between each duration period. The indicated that the conjugated diene content was continuously increased along the accelerated storage period. However, the increase of absorbance at 232 nm was smaller compared to the peroxide value after 18 days of accelerated storage. This difference was because less increased of the diene content during the late stage of lipid oxidation (Guo et al., 2016).

There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$)
between the control sample and palm olein added with 100 ppm of MAFE from day 0 to day 12 in the present study. However, the control sample was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than the palm olein added with 200 ppm of MAFE along the accelerated storage period. This indicated that a higher concentration of MAFE was more effectively to slow down the increases of diene content. Meanwhile, the absorbance at 232 nm in palm olein with 100 ppm MAFE added was close to in palm olein with 200 ppm MAFE in day 24.

The absorbance value at 268 nm in the present study was increased significantly (p < 0.05) during storage period except for palm olein added with 100 ppm MAFE (Figure 5). There was no significant difference in the absorbance value for palm olein added with 100 ppm of MAFE at day 12 and 18 of storage. However, the absorbance increased again between day 18 to 24 of storage duration. This is probably due to the decomposition of ketone as oxidation can destroy the double bond between carbon and oxygen. Nevertheless, the production rate of ketones was higher than the decomposition rate of them (Guo et al., 2016). Hence, the absorbance value was increased at day 24 of storage.

Figure 5. Conjugated triene content of palm olein during storage

The results of absorbance at 268 nm were similar to absorbance 232 nm, where the absorbance of the control sample was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than palm olein added with 100 ppm of MAFE after day 12, and with palm olein added with 200 ppm of MAFE throughout the storage period. This indicated that a higher concentration of MAFE was more effective to reduce the increase of ketones. Moreover, a continuous reduction of ketones is produced by ethanol extract (Urbancic et al., 2014). The finding in the present study was in accordance with Wang et al. (2018), which the highest concentration of Coriandrum sativum L. extract (1200 ppm) significantly decreased the conjugated diene and triene content at absorbance of 232 nm and 268 nm as compared to 300 ppm and 600 ppm of extract.

4. Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that the crude extract from mangrove apple fruit (MAFE) contained a good amount of total flavonoid content and total phenolic content. Moreover, the MAFE have antioxidant activity as the DPPH and ABTS•+ assay showed a positive result. The findings of the present study revealed that the MAFE was capable of reducing the lipid oxidation of commercial palm olein. The peroxide value, p-anisidine value, total oxidation value, conjugated dienes and conjugated trienes in the palm olein samples added with MAFE were significantly lower than the control palm olein sample at the late stage of the storage period, which was after 18 days of accelerated storage at 65°C. The palm olein samples added with MAFE still can be consumed because the peroxide value and p-anisidine value did not exceed the food safety and quality range. Furthermore, there was a significant difference between palm olein sample added with 100 ppm and 200 ppm of MAFE at day 24 of storage. Therefore, the higher concentration of MAFE added, the more effective the protective effect against lipid oxidation as 200 ppm of MAFE showed the lowest value of all the responses for oxidative stability analysis. In conclusion, the MAFE from mangrove apple fruit can be used as a natural antioxidant to prolong the shelf life of the palm olein.
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