Development of indigenous *Streptococcus salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip using Box-Behnken design for oral health
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Abstract

The study was to develop *Streptococcus salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip that exhibits antimicrobial activity against oral pathogens. Indigenous *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253 isolated from healthy human saliva grew well in soy protein isolate after 24 hrs fermentation. Oral strip was developed using hydroxypropyl methylcellulose polymer, propylene glycol plasticizer, *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy, peppermint flavouring and distilled water. The formulation was successfully optimized which gives characteristics such as low moisture uptake, high percent elongation and high tensile strength. The formulation was successfully optimized with a percentage error of not greater than 4.22%. The optimized *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip (20% v/v inoculum) contains more than $10^8$ CFU/g of live cells. The optimized *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip was able to inhibit all studied oral pathogens (*Enterococcus faecalis*, *Streptococcus pyogenes* and *Staphylococcus aureus*) in both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Up to our knowledge, this is the first available oral strips containing indigenous oral probiotic-fermented soy which serve as a new alternative for oral health.

1. Introduction

Oral health care has always been a primary concern in our daily routine life. In recent years, protection for oral health has become more prevalence as oral diseases are also directly correlated with other life-threatening diseases. Oral diseases such as dental caries, periodontitis and gingivitis are caused by a complex group of oral pathogens. Hence, it is crucial to balance the oral microflora and reduce oral pathogens in the oral cavity to improve oral health (Leishman et al., 2010).

Indigenous probiotics are probiotics isolated from the host’s specific site such as gastrointestinal tract (GIT), oral cavity, respiratory tract, and other sites. Indigenous probiotics used for specific host site have advantages compared to foreign probiotics such as better adaptation; better site colonization; and better antimicrobial activity against specific site pathogens (Kaushik et al., 2009, Maheshwari et al., 2012). However, indigenous oral probiotics are not widely studied and little strain isolates are available especially for the oral site. In addition, most commercial probiotics product for oral health are in the form of tablet/ food product that requires transit through GIT and leaving little viable culture in the oral cavity.

The fermentation process is able to change the qualitative and quantitative composition of soybean components through physical and enzymatic processes. Probiotic-soy fermentation hydrolysed glycoside isoflavones into biological active aglycones. Aglycones provide several health benefits such as antimicrobial, antioxidant, and hypocholesterolemic properties (Yang et al., 2011).

The oral strip is a suitable substance delivery system especially for the oral site due to its ability to bypass hepatic first-pass effect as a substance is absorbed through the oral mucosa. Besides, oral strip dissolves in the oral cavity, hence does not requires water administration and avoid choking (Borges et al., 2015).
Tropical countries such as Malaysia have high relative humidity up to 86% annually which often caused oral strip’s instability upon absorbing moisture (Jamaludin et al., 2015). Besides, tensile strength and percent elongation will also affect the oral strip’s stability during transportation and handling (Borges et al., 2015). Hence, it is important to explore the optimized formulation of probiotic-fermented soy oral strip with good characteristics.

In the current market, there are dental care product such as mouthwash and oral probiotic tablet but yet to have probiotic oral strip product that targets to improve oral health. Therefore, the aim of this study was to incorporate indigenous probiotics that are isolated from healthy human saliva for soy fermentation and developed into probiotic-fermented soy oral strip.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial culture

*S. salivarius* TUCC 1253; control strains, *S. salivarius* K12 and *S. salivarius* ATCC 13419; and oral pathogens (*E. faecalis* ATCC 700802; *S. pyogenes* ATCC 19615; *S. aureus* ATCC 25923) (Monash University; Taylor’s University, Malaysia) were stored at -80°C and activated in sterile Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) broth (Hi Media, India) for 3 successive times at 37°C for 24 hrs. Activated cultures were washed (Hettich, Germany) with 0.9% (w/v) of sodium chloride solution three times by centrifugation at 3500 x g at 4°C for 15 mins.

2.2 Soy fermentation

Activated *Streptococcus* strains were added into soy protein isolate (SPI) for fermentation with 5% (v/v) inoculum (OD*sub*600 = 0.7) at 37°C for 24 hrs. *Streptococcus*-fermented soy was then freeze-dried for storage.

2.3 Growth of *Streptococcus* strains in fermented-soy

The viable cell counts of probiotics were conducted via pour plate method. *Streptococcus*-fermented soy (1 mL) was serially-diluted by using sterilized 0.9% (w/v) sodium chloride solution and then plated in BHI agar (Hi Media, India) for 48 h at 37°C. Viable cell counts of *Streptococcus* in SPI were reported as CFU/mL.

2.4 pH of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy

The pH of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy before and after fermentation was conducted using pH meter (pH 700; Eutech Instruments, Singapore).

2.5 Titratable acidity (TA) of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy

TA was conducted to determine free protons concentration and undissociated acids released by *Streptococcus*-fermented soy according to Fuse et al. (1997). After the initial pH of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy was determined, 0.1 N sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was gradually added to the fermented soy (5 mL) until the pH reached 8.2 at 25°C. The TA of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy was calculated as shown below.

\[
\text{Titratable acidity (\% v/v)} = \frac{\text{Volume of NaOH (mL) x N of NaOH}}{\text{Volume of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy (mL)}} \times 106
\]

2.6 Development of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip

*S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip was prepared according to the method described by Chaudhary et al. (2013) with modification. Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) was prepared by dispersing in distilled water with continuous stirring. Freeze-dried *Streptococcus*-fermented soy, plasticizer, and peppermint flavouring were then dissolved using distilled water and mixed thoroughly into HPMC solution. The mixture solutions were poured on a glass with plastic and micrometer film applicator (Microm II, Paul N. Gardner Company Inc., USA) was used to ensure the film’s thickness was even. The oral strip was dried at ambient temperature in Biological Safety Cabinet for 24 h and cut into 4 cm x 2 cm area. Different formulations (10 mL) were prepared by incorporating polymers (45-50% w/w), plasticizers (15-20% w/w), *Streptococcus*-fermented soy (100 mg), peppermint flavouring (20 mg) and add up to 10 mL with distilled water using 2-factor 3-level Box-Behnken experimental design (Stat-East Inc., USA) (Table 1). A total of 13 runs of experiment batches were carried out using different levels of independent variables, polymer concentration (*X*<sub>1</sub>) and plasticizer concentration (*X*<sub>2</sub>) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Low (-1)</th>
<th>Medium (0)</th>
<th>High (+1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>X</em>&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; = Concentration of polymer (% w/w)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>X</em>&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; = Concentration of plasticizer (% w/w)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7 Characterization tests of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip

2.7.1 Tensile strength

Tensile strength was performed according to Irfan et al. (2016) with slight modification using texture analyser equipped with a fixture, TA-DGA (Brookfield CT<sup>3</sup>TM
Texture Analyzer, USA) and 50 kg load cell. The test conditions were set at a target distance of 10 mm with 1 s hold time of a 0.25 N trigger load, running at a test speed of 0.50 mm/s. Tensile strength was calculated using the below calculation.

\[
\text{Tensile strength (N/mm}^2\text{)} = \frac{\text{Load at failure (N)}}{\text{Strip thickness (mm)} \times \text{Strip width (mm)}}
\]

### 2.7.2 Percent elongation at break

Percent elongation at break was performed according to Irfan et al. (2016) using texture analyser (Brookfield CT3™ Texture Analyzer, USA) set at the same test conditions as per tensile strength. Percent elongation at break was calculated using the below calculation.

\[
\text{Percent elongation at break} \; (\%) = \frac{\text{Change in length (mm)}}{\text{Initial length (mm)}} \times 100
\]

### 2.7.3 Moisture uptake

Moisture uptake of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip was carried out according to Singh et al. (2013) with slight modification. The initial weight of oral strip was recorded. The oral strip was cut into a dimension of 2 x 2 cm² and placed in a climate chamber (Memmert HPP 110, Germany) with a relative humidity of 86% at 25°C for 7 days. The final weight of the oral strip after 7 days in climate chamber was recorded. Percentage moisture uptake was determined using the below formula.

\[
\text{Percentage moisture uptake} \; (\%) = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100
\]

### 2.8 Optimization of Streptococcus-fermented soy oral strip formulation

A 2-factor, 3-level Box-Behnken Design (Design Expert Software 9.0.4., Stat-East Inc., USA) was used for optimization of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip formulation according to Chaudhary et al. (2013) with modification. The 13 runs of experiment batches were evaluated based on the independent variables which were polymer concentration (X₁) and plasticizer concentration (X₂); and dependent variables which were moisture uptake (Y₁); percent elongation (Y₂) and tensile strength (Y₃) of oral strip. The optimized *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip was produced based on the formula given by Design Expert Software.

### 2.9 Antagonistic effect of Streptococcus spp.-fermented soy against oral pathogens

Antagonistic effect of *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip towards oral pathogens was analysed using agar diffusion well variant method according to Valgas et al. (2007) with modification. Oral pathogens (100 mL) (OD = 0.3, 600 nm) were spread on blood agar (blood base No. 2 with sheep blood, Oxoid Ltd., United Kingdom) using a sterile spreader. *Streptococcus*-fermented soy oral strip (20% v/v inoculum) was dissolved using 0.9% sodium chloride and added 20 mL into the agar wells. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h in both aerobic and anaerobic condition using an anaerobic jar. The zone of inhibition was measured in mm and results were interpreted against oral pathogen’s respective positive and negative control antibiotic and their concentration as listed in Table 3. Each oral pathogens were interpreted as resistant, intermediate or susceptible to respective control antibiotics according to breakpoints given by CLSI (Table 4) (CLSI, 2012).

### 2.10 Statistical analysis

All the data were analysed using SPSS Inc. Software (version 22) (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and presented as means from 2 separate runs. Pair t-test, independent t-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s test as post-hoc test were used to determine the significant differences between mean; with a significant level of α = 0.05.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Fermentation of soy protein isolate by Streptococcus

Streptococcus spp. is one of the main microorganisms found in the oral cavity (Nicolas and Lavoie, 2011). Figure 1 shows the viability of Streptococcus spp. in soy after fermentation. All strains showed a viable count of $>10^8$ CFU/mL in soy protein isolate solution upon fermentation. Viable counts of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy was 0.8 - 1.1% higher ($p < 0.05$) compared to control S. salivarius K12 and ATCC 13419-fermented soy. S. salivarius K12 and ATCC 13419 were used as control because they were previously studied by other studies.

As Streptococcus spp. originated from the oral cavity, it is desired to be used as an oral probiotic compared to foreign probiotics due to its benefit in adaptation, adhesion ability and exerting antagonistic effect against site-specific oral pathogens (Kaushik et al., 2009; Maheshwari et al., 2012). Although oral Streptococcus spp. are not commonly used for soy fermentation, previous studies have demonstrated that these species exert enzymatic activities such as b-glucosidase that allow bioconversion of isoflavones in soy into bioactive compounds which could exert beneficial effects towards host (Michlmayr and Kneifel, 2014).

Lactic acid-forming Streptococcus spp. uses nutrients in soy for growth and released by-products such as lactic acid during fermentation (Lu and Wang, 2017). Figure 2 shows that the pH level of all Streptococcus-fermented soy decreased ($p < 0.05$) significantly after fermentation. S. salivarius TUCC 1253 showed the highest viable count after soy fermentation which is in tandem with the reduction in pH level compared to control strains.

As pH level is generally maintained

![Figure 1. Viability of Streptococcus-fermented soy after fermentation at 37°C for 24 hrs (data: means, n = 6). AB means values between Streptococcus-fermented soy are significant different ($p < 0.05$) via one-way ANOVA. * means values are significant different ($p < 0.05$) via pair T-test.](image)

![Figure 2. pH of Streptococcus-fermented soy at 37°C for 24 hrs (data: means, n = 6). AB means values between Streptococcus-fermented soy are significant different ($p < 0.05$) via one-way ANOVA. * means values are significant different ($p < 0.05$) via pair T-test.](image)

Table 4. Interpretive criteria for each control antibiotics used on oral pathogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Inhibition zone diameter (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. faecalis ATCC 700802</strong></td>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>≥15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>≥19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. pyogenes ATCC 19615</strong></td>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>≥24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>≥23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S. aureus ATCC 25923</strong></td>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>≥29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>≥15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between 6.7 to 7.3 by saliva. Critical low pH (5.5 – 6.0) environment in the oral cavity will increase the risk of cavities, tooth demineralization and tooth decay (Stookey 2008). Considering the pH level of the fermented soy, no neutralisation procedure was needed for S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy before incorporating into the oral strip as pH level was above 6.0.

Results are expressed as means ± SD, n = 6. * Significant different between after fermentation and after freeze drying (Stookey 2008). Considering the pH level of the fermented soy, no neutralisation procedure was needed for S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy before incorporating into the oral strip as pH level was above 6.0.

Table 6 shows that viable count of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy after incorporating into oral strip decreased significantly (p < 0.05) by 4.1% compared to before incorporating into the oral strip. However, the final cell count for developed S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip was more than 8 log_{10} CFU/g, thus fulfil the requirement as probiotic product.

Table 5. Viable count of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy (with 20% v/v inoculum) after incorporating into oral strip

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before freeze drying (log_{10} CFU/g)</th>
<th>After freeze drying (log_{10} CFU/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy</td>
<td>11.70±0.08</td>
<td>9.28±0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD, n = 6. * Significant different between after fermentation and after freeze drying (p < 0.05) via pair t-test

ANOVA analysis between 2 factors (HPMC and propylene glycol concentration) against moisture uptake, percent elongation and tensile strength were reported.
respectively in Table 8, Table 9 and Table 10. The model for all 3 responses fitted well as all the models’ p-value were significant (p < 0.05). Quality of the fitted model was assessed by further evaluating some model descriptors. All 3 models had adjusted $R^2$ and predicted $R^2$ higher than 84.96%, which indicates high in goodness of fit and prediction power. Coefficient of variation (CV) represents the percentage ratio of standard deviation to mean for the overall model (Lim et al., 2018). According to Cui (1989), CV less than 10% is good and less than 20% is acceptable in clinical research. This shows that models of response 1 and 3 showed good reliability (1.26% and 7.89%); while model of response 2 showed acceptable reliability (12.81%). Lastly, adequate precision represents the measure of signal to noise ratio, it is suggested that adequate precision should be more than 4 for better precision, which all models in our study had achieved with more than 34.68 suggesting a good model prediction (Lim et al., 2018).

Table 7. Characterization tests of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip with 13 formulation batches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Tensile Strength (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Percent Elongation at break (%)</th>
<th>Moisture Uptake (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OS1</td>
<td>17.429±4.292</td>
<td>2.59±0.211</td>
<td>2.66±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS2</td>
<td>29.637±3.486</td>
<td>8.92±1.723</td>
<td>4.81±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS3</td>
<td>35.831±2.102</td>
<td>17.07±2.460</td>
<td>6.17±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS4</td>
<td>30.238±3.634</td>
<td>12.42±2.839</td>
<td>4.81±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS5</td>
<td>35.711±2.712</td>
<td>7.15±1.389</td>
<td>5.78±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS6</td>
<td>29.755±3.543</td>
<td>10.54±4.317</td>
<td>4.84±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS7</td>
<td>29.213±2.758</td>
<td>21.69±1.219</td>
<td>5.35±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS8</td>
<td>39.606±5.559</td>
<td>22.79±1.578</td>
<td>6.69±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS9</td>
<td>29.713±2.575</td>
<td>3.68±0.409</td>
<td>4.38±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS10</td>
<td>19.635±4.855</td>
<td>3.53±0.403</td>
<td>3.29±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS11</td>
<td>21.919±1.523</td>
<td>5.92±0.663</td>
<td>3.88±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS12</td>
<td>30.442±3.217</td>
<td>9.42±4.224</td>
<td>4.83±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS13</td>
<td>30.414±3.245</td>
<td>7.63±1.500</td>
<td>4.83±0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. ANOVA analysis of response 1 (moisture uptake) of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SSa</th>
<th>dfb</th>
<th>MSC</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p valuea (prob&gt;F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>534.73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>106.95</td>
<td>8646.67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>476.74</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>476.74</td>
<td>3854.46</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$</td>
<td>57.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57.77</td>
<td>4760.47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1X_2$</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.1923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1^2$</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2^2$</td>
<td>0.2046</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2046</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.8782</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure error</td>
<td>0.6163</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>535.62</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. ANOVA analysis of response 2 (percent elongation at break) of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SSa</th>
<th>dfb</th>
<th>MSC</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p valuea (prob&gt;F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2179</td>
<td>96.90</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>0.5429</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5429</td>
<td>241.45</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
<td>210.03</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1X_2$</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.2962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1^2$</td>
<td>0.0351</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0351</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2^2$</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>0.0288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>0.1596</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure error</td>
<td>0.1006</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 ANOVA analysis of response 1: effect of moisture uptake

A coded model equation was generated to reflect the mathematical relationship between HPMC and propylene glycol concentration on moisture uptake. The model equation of response 1 was transformed into a power scale to meet the normality assumption as stated below.

$$Y_1^{1.38} = 8.78 + 3.64X_1 + 1.27X_2 - 0.03X_1X_2 - 0.04X_1^2 + 0.11X_2^2$$

All model terms with the $p < 0.05$ were considered as significant. The factor that was significant with larger values of sum of squares and F-value; and smaller p-value in the model had a large impact on the response. Table 8 shows that 3 model terms ($X_1$, $X_2$, $X_1X_2$) were significant factors. HPMC concentration ($X_1$) had the larger positive effect on moisture uptake with 89.0%...
contribution. The increased of HPMC concentration caused increased by 2.93% in moisture uptake of the oral strip (Figure 4). This is because HPMC is a water-soluble polymer where it absorbs moisture when exposed to high relative humidity environment (Majumder et al., 2016). On the other hand, propylene glycol concentration caused only slight increase in moisture uptake of the oral strip (Figure 4).

3.5 ANOVA analysis of response 2: Effect of percent elongation at break

The mathematical equation was developed using obtained experimental data where it was transformed to inverse square root. The factors associated to percentage elongation at break of oral strip were described in coded terms below.

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} = 0.34 - 0.12X_1 - 0.11X_2 + 0.01X_1X_2 + 0.05X_1^2 + 0.03X_2^2
\]

Table 9 shows that 4 model terms \( (X_1, X_2, X_1^2, X_2^2) \) were significant. HPMC concentration showed a positive effect on percent elongation with 43.09% contribution. The percent elongation at break increased from 4.01% to 16.25% when HPMC concentration increased (Figure 5). This is because HPMC is a water-soluble polymer where it forms bond and trapped water molecules. As water is considered a natural plasticizer, it gives plasticizing effect and improves polymer chain movement making it more elastic and hence higher percent elongation (Lim et al., 2018).

Besides, propylene glycol also had an impact and positive effect on percent elongation at break with 37.48% contribution. The percent elongation at break increased from 4.52% to 17.97% when propylene glycol concentration increased (Figure 5). Propylene glycol is a type of humectant plasticizer where it increased the free space between the polymer chain and allow polymer chain to increased movement and rotation, hence increased in percent elongation (Jantrawut et al., 2017).

3.6 ANOVA analysis of response 3: effect of tensile strength

The mathematical equation was formed using gathered experimental data that explained the relationship between factors and tensile strength in coded terms below.

\[
Y_3 = 29.88 + 8.69X_1 + 1.31X_2 - 0.15X_1X_2 - 1.61X_1^2 + 0.12X_2^2
\]

There were 3 model terms \( (X_1, X_2, X_1^2) \) that were significant as shown in Table 10. HPMC concentration \( (X_1) \) was the most critical factor affecting tensile strength, with 73.64% contribution. Figure 6 shows that tensile strength increases by 88.82% as HPMC concentration increase. According to the study by Ismail et al. (2017), as the polymer concentration increased, the macro-voids (free space) between the polymer chain

![Figure 4. Effect of HPMC concentration \( (X_1) \) and propylene glycol concentration \( (X_2) \) on response 1 (moisture uptake).](image)

![Figure 5. Effect of HPMC concentration \( (X_1) \) and propylene glycol concentration \( (X_2) \) on response 2 (percent elongation at break).](image)
reduced, allowing polymer chain to be closer to each other and reduced in movement. Hence, the improved structure of polymer increased in tensile strength. Besides, propylene glycol only had a slight positive effect of 9.17% on tensile strength (Figure 6).

3.7 Optimization of Streptococcus salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip

The three models generated using Box-Behnken design were used to carry out a multi-response optimization. Derringer’s desirability function is an optimization tool used to provide the factors combinations which produced S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip with desirable responses (Shahabadi and Reyhani, 2014).

The optimization goal of our study is to formulate an oral strip that is physically stable throughout transportation and handling. In our study, moisture uptake goal was set at a minimum level; while tensile strength and percent elongation were set at a maximum level. The desirable coded level of HPMC and propylene glycol concentration given by software was -0.03 (47.43% w/w) and 0.55 (18.88% w/w), respectively.

Optimized S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip was developed (Figure 7) according to the formulation provided by the software. Experimental data of the 3 responses for optimized strip were collected and compared with the values of the predicted response provided by software (Table 11). The percentage error was not more than 4.04%. This shows that the optimization of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip was successful, and the developed response models were accurately explained and predicted.

3.8 Antagonistic effect of optimized Streptococcus salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip against oral pathogens

Antagonistic tests were conducted in this study to analyse the effectiveness of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip against oral pathogens. E. faecalis, S. pyogenes and S. aureus are facultative anaerobic oral pathogens that are commonly found in the oral cavity that cause oral infections such as caries, periodontitis, and endodontic infections (Pinheiro and Mayer, 2014, Komiyama et al., 2016).

Antagonistic tests of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip were carried out under aerobic and anaerobic conditions which mimic oral cavity domain. Inhibitory activities of S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip and control antibiotics against oral pathogens were reported in Table 12. The oral strip containing S. salivarius-fermented soy showed (p < 0.05) inhibitory activity against all studied oral pathogens. S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip inhibited E. faecalis, S. pyogenes, and S. aureus under aerobic conditions more effectively (p < 0.05) than anaerobic conditions by 4.86%, 12.81%, and 11.32%, respectively.

S. salivarius TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip showed significantly higher (p < 0.05) inhibitory activity towards E. faecalis, S. pyogenes, and S. aureus compared

Table 11. Averaged experimental data and their respective percentage error based on predicted responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Y_1$</td>
<td>5.078</td>
<td>5.0433</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_2$</td>
<td>13.3395</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_3$</td>
<td>30.4051</td>
<td>30.0323</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Responses for optimized film: $Y_1$, moisture uptake (%); $Y_2$, percent elongation at break (%); $Y_3$, tensile strength (N/mm²).
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to negative control antibiotic under both conditions. On the other hand, the oral strip showed significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) inhibitory activity towards all studied oral pathogens compared to positive control antibiotic (pathogen showed susceptible) under both conditions.

Bacteriocins such as salivaricin A and salivaricin B were reported to be released by *S. salivarius* to inhibit pathogens such as *S. pyogenes*, *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* (Barbour et al., 2016). Besides, aglycones (daidzein and genistein) produced by probiotic-fermented soy were reported to exert antimicrobial properties (Verdrengh et al., 2004). Thus, the antimicrobial activity of the oral strips could be a combination effect of fermented soy and probiotics.

Antibiotics were usually prescribed by dentists to treat oral infections. However, antibiotics are reported to cause side effects such as gastrointestinal discomfort and pathogens had developed increasing resistance on overused antibiotics (Dar-Odeh et al., 2010). In our study, *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip had shown to exert antagonistic effect against all studied oral pathogens under aerobic and anaerobic environment, which makes a good alternative for the prevention of oral infections. In addition, probiotics oral strips can make a good preventive approach via restoration of oral microbial ecology by increasing good bacteria and reducing pathogens.

### 4. Conclusion

*S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip in this study had been successfully optimized and showed potential in improving oral health. To date, this is considered the first study on incorporating *S. salivarius*-fermented soy into oral strip to improve oral health. Future studies could study on the antioxidant and immunomodulatory properties of *S. salivarius*-fermented soy. Besides, in-vivo studies could also be carried out in future studies to explore the effectiveness of *S. salivarius* TUCC 1253-fermented soy oral strip in improving oral infections on human subjects.
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