Optimisation of browning index of Maillard reaction in gelatine powder by response surface methodology (RSM) for halal authentication

¹Hamid, A.H., ¹Elgharbawy, A.A., ²Rohman, A., ³Rashidi O., ⁴Hammed, H. and ^{1*}Nurrulhidayah, A.F

 ¹International Institute for Halal Research and Training (INHART), Level 3, KICT Building, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), 53100 Jalan Gombak, Selangor, Malaysia
²Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta

55281, Indonesia

 ³Herbarium Unit, Department of Landscape Architecture, Kulliyyah of Architecture and Environmental Design, International Islamic University Malaysia, 53100 Jalan Gombak, Selangor, Malaysia
⁴Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering Department, North Dakota State University, 1221 Albrecht Blvd,

Fargo, North Dakota 58102, United States of America

Article history: Received: 5 March 2019 Received in revised form: 3 April 2019 Accepted: 5 April 2019 Available Online: 12 April 2019

Keywords:

Gelatine, Halal, Maillard Reaction, Traceability, UV-Vis Spectroscopy

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26656/fr.2017.3(5).116

Abstract

Gelatine, as the product of collagen extraction from animals, is widely used in the food industry. In a glance, the physical properties of gelatine from several sources such as fish, bovine and porcine are similar. Therefore, distinguishing between the sources of gelatine is a tedious task. The differentiation of the gelatine from its sources requires an approach of a chemical reaction. This paper focused on the optimisation of Maillard reaction from different sources of gelatine by Response surface methodology (RSM). The experiment was designed with several imperative parameters; temperature, time and presence of metal ion Cu²⁺. The response was recorded from the absorbance of reacted gelatine mixture at specific wavenumber (420 nm) through UV-Vis instrumentation. The optimal reaction condition of all type of gelatines in water bath was 95°C for 9 hrs. From solution given, only 5 mM concentration of metal ion Cu²⁺ has an influence on the bovine gelatine compared to fish and porcine gelatine. Maillard reaction with a combination of UV-Vis spectroscopy is one of the convenient protocols for rapid authentication purpose. RSM help to optimize the reaction condition of gelatine from different sources.

1. Introduction

The halal authentication on gelatine as food ingredient hitches concern and demand worldwide as the number of Muslim approaching 2 billion. The reason is gelatine can only be derived from animals while exposed to a higher chance of adulteration which brings the status of haram (prohibited) and syubhah (ambiguous). In the case of gelatine from porcine no doubt that it is forbidden for human consumption along with the case of gelatine from bovine without proper slaughtering in compliance with Islamic teaching (Fadzillah et al., 2011). Moreover, the Istihalah (transformation) process in gelatine from animal's collagen is not acceptable as the alteration is incomplete where no chemical changes occur (Jamaludin et al., 2011). According to Grand View Research, about 40.6% of the raw material of gelatine in 2015 is from pork skin which becomes major usage in the market volume. Meanwhile, gelatine from bovine is expecting to grow fast approaching 2024 at a compound

annual growth rate (CAGR) of 4.9%.

Scientifically, gelatine is a high molecular weight polypeptide of partial collagen hydrolysis from animals mainly mammal's hides, bones and skins. Acids and alkaline treatments are being used on the animal's connective tissue and skins to transform the collagen structure helical structure into random coils (Nhari *et al.*, 2012). The acidic treatment is known as gelatine Type A which applicable for porcine skin to avoid saponification of higher fat content on the skin. Fish skin also undergoes mild acidic treatment for gelatine extraction from both cold and warm-water fish species (Gómez-Guillén *et al.*, 2009). Meanwhile, Type B gelatine represents alkaline treatment to the animal tissue that has a lesser degree of covalent bonding in collagen as bovine skin.

The building blocks of gelatine are known as amino acids. These amino acids can undergo Maillard reaction when heated with reducing sugar and form a brown 526

fluorescence product. Maillard reaction causes brown colour development by three steps as shown in Figure 1. The first step involves the rearrangement of sugar into N -glycosylamine after condensation with the amino group to form the formation of Amadori product from aldose sugar or Heyns product from ketose sugar. The second step is the sugar fragmentations that cause the amino group to be released and Strecker degradation which creates aldehydes and many essential intermediate compounds. Further dehydration, fragmentation, cyclization and polymerisation in the last step take place by carbonyl compound will result in the formation of the brown pigments known as melanoidins (Bastos et al., 2012).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of Maillard reaction and colour formation in food (Tamanna and Mahmood, 2015).

The amino acid profiling of gelatine varies with their origins (Azilawati et al., 2015). Thus, during Millard reaction, amino acids in gelatine contribute differently toward colour development; the degree of browning contribution can be investigated by UV-Vis spectroscopy. Besides, the browning was accelerated by the presence of metal ions such as Fe^{2+} and Cu^{2+} but inhibited by the presence of NaCl (Kwak and Lim, 2004). The previous study by Tan et al. (2012) explained the extent of browning during the Maillard reaction of gelatine from bovine or porcine at 110°C for 24 hrs. However, there was no report of the interaction between the factors affecting on Maillard reaction of gelatine such as the temperature, time, the presence and concentration of inorganic compounds. The parameters of these several factors are difficult to control individually and may have some symbiosis effect.

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a useful tool to investigate the optimum conditions of Maillard

reaction model system (Gu *et al.*, 2009; Arachchi *et al.*, 2017). RSM can improve and optimize complex processes. Moreover, RSM reduces the number of experimental trials required to evaluate multiple parameters and their interactions with acceptable collective statistical results from sufficient information (Yang *et al.*, 2012). Thus, this paper focused on the optimisation of rapid color development of fish, bovine and porcine gelatine during Maillard by response surface methodology (RSM) for halal authentication purpose.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Sample preparation for Maillard reaction of gelatine from different sources

0.5 M of D-(+)-xylose was mixed accordingly to 2% of fish, bovine and porcine gelatine solutions (Sigma Co., USA). The colourless solutions were heated in a water bath until each mixture changed into brown solutions. Another set of experiments was prepared and catalysed with copper chloride (CuCl₂). The solutions were cooled in an ice bath to prevent further reaction. About 200 µL of the sample was taken out in triplication and filled into 96-well plate to measure the absorbance at 420 nm using UV-spectroscopic (Thermo Scientific[™] Multiskan[™] GO Microplate Spectrophotometer). The heated gelatine and xylose mixtures were termed as MRPs and the absorbance reading was referred to as browning index measurement. The procedure to carry on Maillard reaction was followed from Kwak and Lim (2004) with some modification.

2.2 Experimental design for optimisation of Maillard reaction on gelatine by response surface methodology (RSM)

RSM was used after the one-factor-at-a-time study conducted previously by Hamizah *et al.* (2017), to identify the optimum conditions by using Stat-Ease Design-Expert® version 11.0.1.0 (USA). A central composite experimental design (CCD) was generated on the factors of temperature (X_1), time (X_2) and concentration of metal ion Cu²⁺(X_3). One categorical factor was included at three types of gelatine: fish, bovine and porcine which led to 60 sets of experiments. The absorbance represented the response after the Maillard reaction of gelatine with xylose is completed. Three coded levels for three numerical factors were set as in Table 1 with three replications for each run. The coded levels used for each independent variable are -1, 0 and 1.

Table 1.	The factors	and code	levels	in RSN	1
Table 1.	The factors	and code	levels	in RSN	/

Eastan	Units	Code Levels		
Factor		-1	0	1
Temperature (X_1)	°C	35	65	95
Time (X_2)	Hour	3	6	9
Concentration of Cu^{2+} ion (X ₃)	mМ	0	2.5	5

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Rynnye Lyan Resources

2.3 Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), standard deviations and other statistical calculations (at P>0.05) were performed and analysed.

3. Result and discussion

Based on previous data of one-factor-at-time experiments, temperature and time found to be directly proportional to the absorbance of the gelatine-xylose mixture after undergoing Maillard reaction from 6 to 24 hrs at 95°C. Throughout that duration, slow and fast reaction phase was detected after 6 hrs of the reaction. About 2 mM of a metal ion from CuCl₂ added to react as a catalyst to speed up the Maillard reaction (Hamizah *et al.*, 2017).

Table 2 shows that the highest value of absorbance was obtained from the highest temperature. In specific, the highest absorbance value for fish gelatine is at run 21, bovine gelatine at run 59 and porcine gelatine at run 28 respectively. However, the trend of time and concentration of metal ion Cu^{2+} were irregular. The presence of metal ion and lower time cause decreased in the absorbance of fish gelatine but enhance the reaction time for bovine and porcine gelatine. According to Kwak and Lim (2004), the effect of metal ion on browning is also depending on the type of amino acid where in this case vary among the type of gelatine.

Statistical analysis of experimental design was carried out by response surface methodology (RSM) to study the interaction of all factors. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to calculate the regression coefficients of the coded equation and verify the solution from RSM. The models present values for the coefficients of determination, R^2 as 0.94 and coefficients of variation, CV as 11.12%. The high R^2 and low CV indicate a good precision and reliability of the experiment as showing less variation which relative to its mean. The F-value and probability > F value was used to determine the significance of each coefficient. Table 3 shows that the model was significant (P<0.05). The linear effect and interaction of temperature (X_1) and time (X_2) were significant and positively related to the browning index. The interaction of time (X_2) and concentration of metal ion Cu²⁺ (X_3) were also significant, although the concentration of metal ion Cu²⁺ (X_3) was negatively related to browning index. The fitted model equations are shown as in Equation (1), Equation (2) and Equation (3) for fish, bovine and porcine gelatine respectively.

 $ln(Absorbance)_{Fish} = -1.31240 - 0.07462X_1 - 0.02934X_2 + 0.04132X_3 + 0.00135X_1X_2 + 0.00008X_1X_3 - 0.01325X_2X_3 + 0.000713X_1^2 + 0.00421X_2^2 + 0.00294X_3^2$ (1)

 $ln(Absorbance)_{Bovine} = -0.56222 - 0.07462X_1 - 0.07759X_2 + 0.11633X_3 + 0.00135X_1X_2 + 0.00008X_1X_3 - 0.01325X_2X_3 + 0.000713023X_1^2 + 0.004166391X_2^2 + 0.002881263X_3^2$ (2)

 $ln(Absorbance)_{Porcine} = -1.48134 - 0.06757X_{1} - 0.05662X_{2} + 0.05020X_{3} + 0.00135X_{1}X_{2} + 0.00008X_{1}X_{3} - 0.01325X_{2}X_{3} + 0.000713023X_{1}^{2} + 0.004166391X_{2}^{2} + (3) 0.002881263X_{3}^{2}$

The difference between Predicted R² (0.83) and Adjusted R² (0.92) is less than 0.2 showing a reasonable agreement. The value of Adequate Precision (20.77) showed an adequate signal which suggests that this model can be used to navigate the design space. Figure 2 shows the perturbation model graphs of absorbance over all factors affecting the Maillard reaction of gelatine. The temperature labelled as X_1 was directly proportional to the absorbance showing that the most influencing factors

Table 2. Five highest response dependent variables of absorbance from the composite experimental design matrix for three types of gelatine subjected to Maillard reaction

Run	X_1 : Temperature (°C)	X ₂ : Time (hour)	X_3 : Concentration of Cu ²⁺ ion (mM)	<i>X</i> ₄ : Type of Gelatine	Absorbance
57	95	3	5	Fish	0.1702±0.0100
43	95	3	0	Fish	0.2005 ± 0.0199
34	95	6	2.5	Fish	0.2556±0.0139
17	95	9	5	Fish	0.3661 ± 0.0105
21	95	9	0	Fish	0.5922 ± 0.0272
11	95	6	2.5	Bovine	0.2532 ± 0.0120
41	95	3	0	Bovine	0.2876 ± 0.0310
8	95	9	5	Bovine	$0.7631 {\pm} 0.0467$
15	95	9	0	Bovine	0.8072 ± 0.0454
59	95	3	5	Bovine	1.1740 ± 0.1119
52	95	3	5	Porcine	0.2880 ± 0.0229
49	95	3	0	Porcine	0.2905 ± 0.0255
25	95	9	5	Porcine	0.3560 ± 0.0217
13	95	9	0	Porcine	0.6177 ± 0.0314
28	95	6	2.5	Porcine	0.7901 ± 0.0851

The data represent mean \pm standard deviation of three replicates.

PAPER

in Maillard reaction of gelatine. Meanwhile, the factor of time, X_2 and concentration of metal ion Cu²⁺, X_3 were lower indicating less important for the reaction.



Figure 2. Perturbation graph over all types of gelatine on three factors affecting the Maillard Reaction

The software provided solutions for optimum reaction conditions. Table 4 shows the solution selected from post analysis of response surface methodology for each type of gelatine for all factors influencing Maillard reaction. The values suggested can be used to validate the model. As can be seen from the results, the error obtained is less than 5% which shows the reliability and the applicability of the model. From the results, fish and porcine gelatine displayed increment in browning during 9 hrs without the presence of metal ion compared to bovine gelatine. Time and temperature have a more

significant effect on browning compared to the concentration of Cu^{2+} . This means that the concentration of Cu^{2+} could be fixed at the lower level as a catalyst will speed up the reaction at a low concentration (Kwak and Lim, 2004).

The Maillard reaction products (MRPs) made from sugar-tuna stomach hydrolysate model system was tested at 95°C and 115°C in phosphate buffer (Sumaya-Martinez et al., 2005), which is comparable to the data obtained in this study. Moreover, in Maillard reaction system, peptides cross-linked rapidly when the temperature reached 110-120°C when testing soybean peptides where the increase of macromolecule products was also accompanied by severe browning and pH decrement. It can be concluded that temperature is an important factor for the reaction and correlate to both thermal degradation and Maillard reaction. Maillard reaction decreased the content of bitter amino acid and peptides below 1000 Da thus reduced the bitter properties of the final products (Lan et al., 2010).

4. Conclusion

Response surface methodology (RSM) was utilised in optimising the variables of Maillard reaction of gelatine from fish, bovine and porcine. The optimum browning index from absorbance reading of fish and porcine gelatine was achievable at the highest temperature and time without the presence of metal ion compared to bovine gelatine. These optimisation results are very useful for further targeted discriminate analysis

Table 3. Variance analysis for optimisation of Maillard reaction with all type of gelatine

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					-		
Model 35.73229 17 2.1019 38.64948 < 0.0001 Significant X_1 -Temperature 22.33515 1 22.33515 410.696 < 0.0001 X_2 -Time 0.688357 1 0.688357 12.65743 0.000943 X_3 -Concentration of Cu^{2+} ion 0.016876 1 0.016876 0.310312 0.580446 X_4 -Type of Gelatine 4.613567 2 2.306784 42.41686 < 0.0001 X_1X_2 0.3537 1 0.3537 6.503792 0.014501 X_1X_3 0.000813 1 0.000813 0.014945 0.903284 X_1X_4 0.298732 2 0.149366 2.746522 0.075684 X_2X_4 0.105347 2 0.052674 0.968556 0.387948 X_3X_4 0.21 2 0.105 1.930724 0.1577 X_1^2 3.401128 1 3.401128 62.53954 < 0.0001 X_2^2 0.011819 1 0.002781 0.05114 0.822189 Residual 2.284113 42 0.054384 42.0054384 Lack of Fit 2.274617 27 0.084245 133.0788 < 0.0001 Pure Error 0.009496 15 0.000633 -70001 SignificantPure Error 35.73229 17 2.1019 38.64948 < 0.0001 Cor Total 22.33515 1 22.33515 410.696 < 0.0001	Source	Sum of	Df	Mean	F-value	p-value	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Model	35.73229	17	2.1019	38.64948	< 0.0001	Significant
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_1 -Temperature	22.33515	1	22.33515	410.696	< 0.0001	
X_3 -Concentration of Cu^{2^+} ion0.01687610.0168760.3103120.580446 X_4 -Type of Gelatine4.61356722.30678442.41686< 0.0001	X_2 -Time	0.688357	1	0.688357	12.65743	0.000943	
X_4 -Type of Gelatine4.61356722.30678442.41686< 0.0001 X_1X_2 0.353710.35376.5037920.014501 X_1X_3 0.00081310.0008130.0149450.903284 X_1X_4 0.29873220.1493662.7465220.075684 X_2X_3 0.23718110.2371814.3612630.042866 X_2X_4 0.10534720.0526740.9685560.387948 X_3X_4 0.2120.1051.9307240.1577 X_1^2 3.40112813.40112862.53954< 0.0001	X_3 -Concentration of Cu ²⁺ ion	0.016876	1	0.016876	0.310312	0.580446	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>X</i> ₄ -Type of Gelatine	4.613567	2	2.306784	42.41686	< 0.0001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_1X_2	0.3537	1	0.3537	6.503792	0.014501	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_1X_3	0.000813	1	0.000813	0.014945	0.903284	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_1X_4	0.298732	2	0.149366	2.746522	0.075684	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_2X_3	0.237181	1	0.237181	4.361263	0.042866	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_2X_4	0.105347	2	0.052674	0.968556	0.387948	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_3X_4	0.21	2	0.105	1.930724	0.1577	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_{1}^{2}	3.401128	1	3.401128	62.53954	< 0.0001	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	X_2^2	0.011819	1	0.011819	0.217331	0.64349	
Residual 2.284113 42 0.054384 Lack of Fit 2.274617 27 0.084245 133.0788 < 0.0001 SignificantPure Error 0.009496 15 0.000633 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ Cor Total 38.01641 59 $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ </td <td>X_{3}^{2}</td> <td>0.002781</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.002781</td> <td>0.05114</td> <td>0.822189</td> <td></td>	X_{3}^{2}	0.002781	1	0.002781	0.05114	0.822189	
Lack of Fit2.274617270.084245133.0788< 0.0001SignificantPure Error0.009496150.0006330.0006335959505050Pure Error35.73229172.101938.64948< 0.0001	Residual	2.284113	42	0.054384			
Pure Error0.009496150.000633Cor Total38.0164159Pure Error35.73229172.101938.64948< 0.0001	Lack of Fit	2.274617	27	0.084245	133.0788	< 0.0001	Significant
Cor Total38.0164159Pure Error35.73229172.101938.64948< 0.0001	Pure Error	0.009496	15	0.000633			-
Pure Error35.73229172.101938.64948< 0.0001Cor Total22.33515122.33515410.696< 0.0001	Cor Total	38.01641	59				
Cor Total 22.33515 1 22.33515 410.696 < 0.0001	Pure Error	35.73229	17	2.1019	38.64948	< 0.0001	
	Cor Total	22.33515	1	22.33515	410.696	< 0.0001	

Table 4. Three selected solution for optimisation of Maillard reaction for different types of gelat	atine
---	-------

Type of Gelatine	Temperature (°C)	Time (hour)	Concentration of Cu ²⁺ ion (mM)	% Error of validation
Fish	95	9	0	+2.72
Bovine	95	9	5	+ 3.15
Porcine	95	9	0	+ 3.15

of gelatine. It was also helpful to verify the research finding of one-factor-at-time and understanding the interaction between all factors.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2016/STG01/UIAM/02/5) with special thanks to the Malaysia Ministry of Education.

References

- Arachchi, S.J.T., Kim, Y.-J., Kim, D.-W., Oh, S.-C. and Lee, Y.-B. (2017). Optimization of Maillard reaction in model system of glucosamine and cysteine using response surface methodology. *Preventive Nutrition* and Food Science, 22(1), 37-44. https:// doi.org/10.3746/pnf.2017.22.1.37
- Azilawati, M. I., Hashim, D.M., Jamilah, B. and Amin, I. (2015). RP-HPLC method using 6-aminoquinolyl-Nhydroxysuccinimidyl carbamate incorporated with normalization technique in principal component analysis to differentiate the bovine, porcine and fish gelatins. *Food Chemistry*, 172, 368-376. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.09.093
- Bastos, D.M., Monaro, E., Siguemoto, E. and Sefora, M. (2012). Maillard Reaction Products in Processed Food: Pros and Cons. InTech. Retrieved from InTechOpen website: https://www.intechopen.com/ books/food-industrial-processes-methods-andequipment/maillard-reaction-products-in-processedfood-pros-and-cons. https://doi.org/10.5772/31925
- Fadzillah, N.A., Man, Y.B.C., Jamaluddin, M.A., Rahman, S.A. and Al-Kahtani, H.A. (2011). Halal food issues from Islamic and modern science perspectives. 2nd International Conference on Humanities, Historical and Social Sciences, 171, 59– 163.
- Gu, F.-I., Abbas, S. and Zhang, X.-M. (2009). Optimization of Maillard reaction products from casein-glucose using response surface methodology. *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, 42(8), 1374-1379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2009.03.012
- Gómez-Guillén, M., Pérez-Mateos, M., Gómez-Estaca, J., López-Caballero, E., Giménez, B. and Montero, P. (2009). Fish gelatine: a renewable material for developing active biodegradable films. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 20(1), 3-16. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2008.10.002

- Hamizah, A., Hammed, A.M., Asiyanbi-H, T.T., Mirghani, M.E.S., Jaswir, I. and Fadzillah, N.A. (2017). Evaluation of Catalytic Effects of Chymotrypsin and Cu²⁺ for Development of UV-Spectroscopic Method for Gelatine-Source Differentiation. *International Journal of Food Science*, 2017, 1-5. https:// doi.org/10.1155/2017/2576394
- Jamaludin, M.A., Zaki, N.N.M., Ramli, M.A., Hashim, D.M. and Rahman, S.A. (2011). Istihalah: Analysis on The Utilization of Gelatin in Food Products. 2nd International Conference on Humanities, Historical and Social Sciences, 17, 174-178.
- Kwak, E.-J. and Lim, S.-I. (2004). The effect of sugar, amino acid, metal ion, and NaCl on model Maillard reaction under pH control. *Amino Acids*, 27(1), 85-90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-004-0067-7
- Lan, X., Liu, P., Xia, S., Jia, C., Mukunzi, D., Zhang, X., Xia, W., Tian, H. and Xiao, Z. (2010). Temperature effect on the non-volatile compounds of Maillard reaction products derived from xylose–soybean peptide system: Further insights into thermal degradation and cross-linking. *Food Chemistry*, 120 (4), 967-972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.11.033
- Nhari, R.M.H.R., Ismail, A. and Che Man, Y.B. (2012). Analytical methods for gelatine differentiation from bovine and porcine origins and food products. *Journal of Food Science*, 77(1), R42-R46. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02514.x
- Sumaya-Martinez, M.T., Thomas, S., Linard, B., Binet, A. and Guerard, F. (2005). Effect of Maillard reaction conditions on browning and antiradical activity of sugar-tuna stomach hydrolysate model system. *Food Research International*, 38(8-9), 1045-1050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2005.03.015
- Tamanna, N. and Mahmood, N. (2015). Food Processing and Maillard Reaction Products: Effect on Human Health and Nutrition. *International Journal of Food Science*, 2015, 526762. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/526762
- Tan, T., Alkarkhi, A.F.M. and Mat, A. (2012). Assessment of the ribose-induced Maillard reaction as a means of gelatine powder identification and quality control. *Food Chemistry*, 134(4), 2430–2436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.04.049
- Yang, C., Song, H.L. and Chen, F. (2012). Response surface methodology for meat-like odorants fom Maillard reaction with glutathione I: the optimization analysis and the general pathway exploration. *Journal of Food Science*, 77(9), C966-C974. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2012.02863.x.