Analysis of energy usage and cost management of mechanize grabber in oil palm plantation
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Abstract

The aim of this study was to evaluate the energy usage and total operation cost of the tractor grabber. The data was collected at FELCRA BERHAD Kawasan Titi Gantong, Bota, Perak. The total cost included all the operation in loading system and evacuation process of fresh fruit bunch (FFB). This study evaluated the energy usage of tractor grabber for three consecutive months using a newly purchased Mini Tractor Grabber Kubota L4400. This study was conducted based on the energy input-output methodology. Mathematical equations are used to determine the field capacity and examine energy usage. The result found that 153.38 MJha⁻¹ of input energy was required to produce 328.43 metric ton per month of FFB in June. The energy was dominated by fuel consumption, machinery and followed by labour. The total operating cost is based on the field capacity of the machine. This study was conducted and obtained the result, assumed that the total cost for a year is RM 47,444.24 and the cost per metric ton is RM 9.76 with energy consumption of 174.23 MJ. Conclusively, it means that the energy usage and cost of operation is efficiently and effectively used in oil palm productivity in FELCRA BERHAD Kawasan Titi Gantong.

1. Introduction

Nowadays plantation industry especially in oil palm sectors has been facing several issues especially in the yield quality and also in the financial problems. The decrease of the quality of the fruit will affect the oil palm extraction (OER) process and can also affect the price of the crude palm oil (CPO). The main factor of this issue is the late evacuation of the fresh fruit bunch (FFB) to the mill. Malaysian Palm Oil Board has standardized that 5% of free fatty acid (FFA) production due to lack of transportation from field to mill (MPOB, 2014).

Oil palm field operation consists of several tasks such as loading the FFB, evacuating the FFB and other jobs. Most of the operations require a lot of energy from labourers (Azwan et al., 2015). According to Ismail et al. (2013), labourers who work as harvesters and collectors are the highest, accounting for 40% of the total workers in the plantation sector since it is the most intensive process. Therefore, the implementation of mechanization is a must in order to reduce the dependency of labour in the oil palm operations in plantation (Adib and Pebrian, 2018). Although the implementation of mechanization in Malaysian plantation has started since the 1960s, it was only used in certain operations (Azwan et al., 2015). Among mechanizations which mostly used in the in-field operations are a wheelbarrow, mini tractor, eco rider, buffalo and grabber (Awaludin, 2011).

Field capacity and field efficiency are the primary parameters used to identify the performance of the machine which can later be used to determine the machine operating cost. Among the most important cost that influences the final profit in plantation operation is the cost of operating machinery. Normally, machines operate using diesel as fuel which is then converted into mechanical energy to perform jobs. Hence, it is very crucial to manage the machines in order to reduce unnecessary fuel burning to maximize productivity and at the same time leaving less impact on the environment (Bartzas and Komnitsas, 2018).

Many studies have been conducted to evaluate the energy used and its cost analysis in other agricultural productions (Baran and Gokdogan, 2016; Biswas, 2017; Sharifi, 2018; Bartzas and Komnitsas, 2018; Noor et al., 2020). The energy used can be classified into direct sources like fuel, labour, animal power, fertilizers and chemicals and indirect sources like input energy required...
in a machine production (Azwan et al., 2015). The use of incorrect input energy and field capacity of a machine can lead to excessive energy usage which causes an increase in the production cost of a plantation. The cost is predicted based on the amount of fuel and other resources consumed which are directly related to the amount of energy exerted.

Therefore, the aims of this study are to evaluate the field capacity and the energy usage in oil palm plantation operations, especially fuel consumption in mechanize grabber operation. This study utilizes the energy of input, output, specific and net energy in the mechanize grabber operation based on daily operation for three consecutive months. Then, the result can be used to calculate the operating cost. Thus, a more efficient manner of energy utilization can be determined and initiated for financial saving or environmental benefits.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Data collection

In data collection, energy analysis methodology introduced by Fluck (1991) was used in this study. The first step was to determine the boundary or area of the study. While the next step is to determine and estimate the input and output from the selected area. Third and fourth steps include converting all input and output data into an energy unit. The final result produced is the indication of energy operation and performance through energy indices obtained from the analysis.

The study was carried out in plantation of FELCRA Berhad Kawasan Titi Gantong, Bota, Perak Malaysia with coverage area about 1527.57 ha with 14 plots. However, in this study, one plot of 186.45 ha was used to test the machine grabber for daily operation. The machine used was a newly purchased Mini Tractor Grabber Kubota L4400. The data taken based on a daily operation which consists of working hour per day, the capacity of the tractor grabber, fuel consumption, cost of the maintenances per month and the yields per month from the mechanize grabber. The data was recorded for three consecutive months since the company bought the machinery.

2.2 Calculation

2.2.1 Measuring field capacity

Field capacity is usually shown in hectare or acre per hour. It is commonly used to measure machine capacity. Harvesting and evacuation process operations may be measured as tons per hour. The measurement of capacity includes the theoretical field capacity (TFC), effective field capacity (EFC) and material capacity (MC). Below are the formulas that has been used.

\[ \text{Field capacity} = \frac{\text{area}}{\text{time}} \]

\[ \text{Theoretical Field capacity} = \frac{\text{Speed (km/hour)} \times \text{tractor capacity (mt)}}{10} \]

\[ \text{Effective field capacity, (ha/hr)} = \frac{\text{yield (mt)}}{\text{time (hr)}} \]

\[ \text{Field efficiency, (\%)} = \frac{\text{Effective field capacity}}{\text{Theoretical Field capacity}} \times 100 \]

\[ \text{Effective material capacity, (mt/hr)} = \frac{\text{Weight (mt)}}{\text{Time (hour)}} \]

2.2.2 Estimating fuel and repair cost

Estimating fuel and repair cost is possible because the amount of fuel consumed is directly related to the amount of energy exerted.

\[ \text{Average Fuel consumption} = \text{Horsepower (Hp)} \times 0.233 \text{ (for diesel)} \]

\[ \text{Average Fuel Cost} = \text{Fuel consumption} \times \text{Price of diesel} \]

\[ \text{Average Fuel and Lubricant Cost} = \text{Fuel cost} + (10\% \times \text{Fuel cost}) \]

The estimation of repair cost be determining with using this equation;

\[ \text{TAR} = \text{List Price} \times \text{RF1} \times \left( \frac{\text{hours}}{1,000} \right)^{\text{RF2}} \]

Where TAR = Total Accumulated Repairs, RF1 and RF2 = repair factors.

2.2.3 Energy calculation

The energy was calculated based on the obtained input, multiplied with the coefficient of energy equivalent to previous research literature. The unit of the result was in MegaJoule (MJ) per hectare term. The energy contributed by the machine can be calculated with the equation (Moerschner and Gerowitt, 2000).

\[ \text{ME} = \frac{(G \times E)}{(T \times C)} \]

Where ME = machine energy (MJ/ha), G = weight of the tractor (kg), E = constant (41.4 MJ/kg), T = economic life of tractor and C = effective field capacity

The energy ratio (energy use efficiency) and energy productivity were calculated as follows (Singh et al., 1997) and (Mandal et al., 2002) respectively below:

\[ \text{Energy use efficiency} = \frac{\text{Energy output (MJha}^{-1})}{\text{Energy input (MJha}^{-1})} \]

\[ \text{Energy productivity} = \frac{\text{Fresh fruit bunch output (kgha}^{-1})}{\text{Energy Input (MJha}^{-1})} \]

The value of the energy ratio more than 1 indicates that the production is using energy efficiently, whereas if the energy ratio is less than 1, it can be concluded that the method is not feasible in an energy review (Haryanto et al., 2018).

3. Results and discussion

The data that was collected from the plantation for
three months are shown in Table 1. Based on Table 1, it displays the information or details of the data for the tractor grabber operation for the three consecutive months. It shows the yield of the oil palm in that field based on month and day of the yield and the productivity of the tractor grabber towards the yield.

To evaluate the full field capacity of the tractor grabber, the effective field capacity (EFC) must be determined. EFC is the calculation to measure the area of the coverage of tractor grabber operations and the hours of the operation. EFC determines the actual operating capacity of the tractor in the field. The calculation EFC was calculated using Equation 3 and as seen in Table 2.

Field efficiency (FE) is to define the percentage of the machine operates and it is between the ratios of EFC with the TFC. Table 3 shows the percentage of the FE of the tractor grabber operation. The differences between the EFC and the TFC of the tractor grabber are shown in Figure 1. The EFC values of the three months were varied because of the working day and the working area for each month are different and the quantity of the FFB was distinctive as well in a specific month.

Table 1. Productivity of tractor grabber (Kubota L4400) for three months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Field area (mt)</th>
<th>Harvesting round</th>
<th>Area cover (ha)</th>
<th>Working day/month</th>
<th>Working/day (hr)</th>
<th>Working area/day (ha)</th>
<th>Yield/month (mt)</th>
<th>Bunch amount</th>
<th>Fruit bunch average (kg)</th>
<th>Yield/day (mt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>186.45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>372.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.65</td>
<td>328.43</td>
<td>15,366</td>
<td>21.37</td>
<td>16.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>186.45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>372.9</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.86</td>
<td>485.19</td>
<td>21,992</td>
<td>22.06</td>
<td>16.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>186.45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>372.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>401.31</td>
<td>17745</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>16.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total cost can be used to estimate the costs involved in the tractor grabber operation including the labour cost, fuel consumption cost and repair and maintenance cost. The total cost was calculated to show the estimation of the total cost for tractor grabber for three months to observe results on whether the company is overspending based on the yield that the company achieved for the month. Hence, Table 4 shows the total cost of the operation using the tractor grabber for three months.

Cost / metric ton = RM 11,861.06 / 1,214.93 metric ton

*yield for 3 month* = RM 9.76/metric ton

Table 2. Effective field capacity for the three months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Yield/day (mt)</th>
<th>Working hours/day (hour)</th>
<th>EFC (mt/hour)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>16.73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>16.05</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The allocation of inputs and their energy equivalence used in the production of the oil palm or FFB are shown in Table 5. The total input of energy for each month is in MJha⁻¹. The yield of oil palm (MJha⁻¹) is estimated by determining the yield in kilogram which has been harvested each month and multiplied with 1.90 MJunit⁻¹. Then, the yield of oil palm was calculated in MJha⁻¹. Next, the ratio of energy output and input is calculated.
by using Equation 11 to determine the ratio, then
Equation 12 is used to calculate the energy productivity
(kgMJ⁻¹) to determine the efficiency of energy
utilization.

According to the yield per hectare, the total energy
output in oil palm productivity in FELCRA BERHAD
Kawasan Titi Gantong for each month was 1672 kg ha⁻¹,
2470 kg ha⁻¹ and 2052 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The input
energy is dominated by fuel, followed by machine and
labour energy. The total of input energy for three months
is 534.11 MJ⁻¹, 22.05 MJ⁻¹ and 2.99 MJ⁻¹, for fuel,
tractor grabber and labour, respectively.

The energy equivalent to producing the yield for
each month is 153.38 MJha⁻¹, 226.42 MJha⁻¹ and 179.35
MJha⁻¹. Therefore, the energy productivity indicates that
the amount of product is obtained from the unit area in
return for the used energy amount is 5.74 kgMJ⁻¹, 5.74
kgMJ⁻¹, and 6.0 kgMJ⁻¹ for each month respectively. In
other means, for example in June, for 5.74 kg of produce,
1 MJ of energy is used in the field. Averagely, every
metric ton of FFB production will consume 124.73 MJ
of input energy.

The energy efficiency is determined by the ratio of
output energy to input energy. According to Internal
Energy Agency (2016), they stated that something is
more energy-efficient if it delivers more service for the
same energy input or the same service for less energy
input. The energy is used efficiently in the oil palm FFB
production, an example was in June at 10.90. It shows
that the oil palm production earned at least 10.90 times
of the energy inputs given to the production process.
Therefore, this can be concluded and considered as very
energy-efficient on input energy.

4. Conclusion

In order to remain cost-competitive, the oil palm
plantation sector needs to increase productivity even in
times of labour shortage. Hence, the implementation of
machinery especially in the most intensive process is
imperative. The tractor grabber has been proven to be
efficient in loading system and evacuation process of
FFB. Based on the study, it has been estimated that the
tractor grabber gives a positive impact on the total
operation cost and energy usage. It shows the total cost
of tractor operation is RM 11,861.06 for the three
months and it can be assumed that the total cost for a
year is about RM 47,444.26. Therefore, the cost per
metric ton for a year is estimated at RM 9.76 and the
total energy input for every metric ton is 124.73 MJ.
In addition, this study depicts the mechanized grabber
tractor was energy-efficient and worked effectively with
a ratio of energy output of at least 10.90 times of energy
input in the production process. Hence, this can
contribute to the reduction of environmental pollution
such as air and soil pollution.
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